# The future of international business: integrating the circular economy for sustainable success

*Vladyslav Bato*[1,*]

[1]University of Economics in Bratislava, Faculty of Commerce, Department of International Trade, Dolnozemská cesta 1, 852 35, Bratislava, Slovak Republic

**Abstract.** The integration of the circular economy into international business practices presents a transformative approach towards achieving sustainable success in the global market. This paper explores the pivotal role of the circular economy. By redefining traditional business models and consumption patterns, the circular economy offers a pathway to sustainable growth, operational efficiency, and enhanced market competitiveness. The paper employs a comprehensive review strategic initiatives from leading global enterprises, specifically focusing on Patagonia and Philips. These companies exemplify successful integration of circular economy principles through innovative practices in resource management, waste reduction, and sustainable product design. The findings indicate that adopting circular economy practices not only mitigates environmental impacts but also unlocks new avenues for business growth, job creation, and social equity. However, challenges such as infrastructure deficits, policy misalignment, and significant capital investments pose barriers to widespread adoption. The future of international business lies in embracing the circular economy as a core component of sustainable development, calling for collaborative efforts among businesses, governments, and society to overcome existing challenges and capitalize on the economic, social, and environmental benefits offered by circular economy practices.

## 1 Introduction

In today's rapidly evolving market landscape, the integration of sustainability and the circular economy (CE) into the core strategies of international businesses is essential for sustainable success. The urgency of this shift is propelled by increased environmental activism, regulatory mandates, and consumer demands for sustainable production practices. With finite planetary resources and unsustainable global consumption patterns, the circular economy—emphasizing reuse, recycling, and reducing waste—presents an effective pathway to mitigate environmental impacts while fostering economic growth. This approach prioritizes the longevity and cyclicity of resources, challenges traditional business models, and opens avenues for innovation in product design, supply chain logistics, and consumer engagement.

The paper examines the future of international business within the framework of the circular economy through case studies of pioneering companies like Patagonia and Philips, which have successfully embedded sustainability into their core operations. By examining strategic initiatives from Patagonia's Worn Wear Program to Philips' Circular Design efforts, the paper showcases the tangible benefits and challenges of integrating the circular economy into business models. The discussion aims to equip readers with an understanding of how circular economy principles can be effectively integrated across different sectors to drive sustainability and sustainable success, ensuring that businesses thrive while contributing positively to environmental stewardship and social well-being.

The concept of the circular economy has evolved significantly over the decades, influenced by various schools of thought. It is not attributed to a single originator but has been shaped by contributions from notable figures such as U.S. professor John Lyle, his student William McDonough, German chemist Michael Braungart, and architect and economist Walter Stahel. The development of the CE concept in Germany during the early 1990s aimed to address raw material and natural resource use for sustained economic growth. Meanwhile, in China, the concept was promoted through the establishment of eco-industrial parks in the late 1990s and further emphasized in the mid-2000s under Hu Jintao's concept of a "harmonous society" [1].

In [2], authors argue that the shift to a circular economy is not just an environmental necessity but also a significant economic opportunity. Their work emphasizes that a circular economy boosts competitiveness by generating new business opportunities and innovative production and consumption methods. This transition is supported by comprehensive policies, which provides a clear framework for industries to adopt sustainable practices and enhance resource productivity.

* Corresponding author: vladyslav.bato@euba.sk

The role of digital technologies in supporting circular economy practices is extensively discussed by [3]. They highlight how digital tools can monitor the transition from linear to circular economic models, enhancing resource efficiency and productivity. Digital technologies enable real-time tracking of resource flows and facilitate the implementation of circular strategies by providing insights into the lifecycle of products. Tools like the Circular Economy Toolkit (CET) and Circular Transition Index (CTI) are instrumental in helping organizations assess and improve their circularity.

[4], provide a systematic review of innovation and the circular economy, underscoring the importance of organizational and technological innovation in driving circular practices. They argue that innovation in business models, supported by digital technologies, is crucial for the successful implementation of the circular economy. This includes developing new products and services designed for longevity, repairability, and recyclability, which are essential for reducing environmental impact and creating economic value.

The competitive advantage of integrating circular economy models is further explored by [5], who discuss the benefits for apparel multinationals. They illustrate how circular economy practices, such as using recycled materials and promoting product longevity, can enhance brand reputation and customer loyalty while reducing operational costs. These practices not only mitigate environmental impact but also create a competitive edge in the market by aligning with consumer preferences for sustainable products.

However, the transition to a circular economy is not without challenges. Infrastructure deficits, policy misalignment, and the need for substantial capital investment are significant barriers. In [2], authors emphasize the need for technological innovation and infrastructure development to support recycling and remanufacturing processes. They also call for comprehensive policies that incentivize circular practices, such as extended producer responsibility and eco-design regulations. Addressing the financial challenges of the circular economy requires clear policies, financial incentives, and tax reductions for circular initiatives. These measures can help overcome the initial investment barriers and promote long-term economic benefits. [6], highlight the importance of collaborative efforts between governments, industry associations, and environmental organizations in crafting effective policies and fostering a supportive environment for circular economy practices.

Despite the progress in circular economy research, significant knowledge gaps remain. There is a need for a unified understanding of the complex trade flow dynamics associated with the CE transition [7]. The literature review reveals competing claims and a lack of systematic understanding, which could lead to the design of ineffective policy actions. Additionally, new research is required on the impacts of geopolitics, technological innovation, and CE finance on circular trade [8]. This points to a critical need for continued academic and practical exploration to address these gaps and enhance the effectiveness of the circular economy in international business contexts.

## 2 Methodology

Methodology involves a systematic review of articles, companies and strategic initiatives to identify and categorize various circular business models. Fifteen circular business models were analyzed to understand their association with different circular strategies. This analysis helps in determining which models are more prevalent and how they align with specific stages of a product-service life cycle, making them suitable for particular circular strategies.

The following key words were used to search for suitable studies: circular economy, sustainability, business models, resource management, waste reduction.
Inclusion Criteria:

- Articles published in peer-reviewed journals.
- Company reports and sustainability reports.
- Studies focusing on companies with significant strides in the circular economy.
- Strategic initiatives that either slow or close resource loops.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Studies not focusing on the circular economy.
- Articles lacking substantial data on circular strategies.
- Reports not aligned with the research objectives.

The selection of companies focused on forerunner companies demonstrating significant strides in the circular economy. Criteria for selection included the company’s engagement in strategies that either slow or close resource loops, ability to challenge market path dependencies, and their strategic organizational, technological, and business model innovation capabilities. The companies selected were Patagonia and Philips.

Patagonia was chosen for its pioneering role in integrating circular economy principles into its core business strategy. The company is renowned for its comprehensive approach to sustainability, encompassing product design, material sourcing, and consumer engagement. Patagonia's initiatives, such as the Worn Wear Program and extensive use of recycled materials, exemplify effective circular strategies aimed at reducing waste and extending product life cycles. Patagonia's commitment to environmental activism and advocacy further solidifies its position as a leader in promoting sustainable business practices.

Philips was selected due to its innovative application of circular economy principles in the health technology and electronics sectors. The company's focus on designing products for longevity, ease of disassembly, and recyclability sets a benchmark for sustainable product design. Philips' service-based business models, such as the Circular Lighting service, and its robust refurbishment programs for medical equipment highlight its comprehensive approach to reducing environmental impact. Philips' dedication to sustainable supply chain management and collaboration with suppliers to adhere

to circular economy principles demonstrates its holistic strategy in integrating sustainability into its operations.

Both companies were selected because they represent different industries—outdoor apparel and health technology/electronics—providing a broader perspective on how circular economy principles can be applied across diverse sectors. Their successful integration of circular economy strategies serves as valuable case studies for understanding the benefits and challenges of adopting these practices in international business contexts.

Data collection was multifaceted, including sources such as Web of Science and ScienceDirect databases, company reports, and sustainability reports. Additionally, companies producing above certain volumes were required to disclose their Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) to a centralized database, providing additional data for analysis. This comprehensive data collection approach ensures a robust dataset to support findings and recommendations.

## 3 Results and Discussion

Recent literature highlights the adoption of the circular economy in various international contexts. Developed countries have been at the forefront, with nations like China, Finland, Japan, South Korea, and Germany implementing national CE strategies. These strategies include creating industrial parks to maximize CE principles and making manufacturers responsible for the use of their materials post-production [9]. In contrast, developing countries face challenges in adopting CE due to lower awareness and attachment to linear consumption models. However, there is a growing understanding of the importance of CE, leading to the introduction of laws and regulations that motivate firms to pursue CE concepts [10].

Studies have also shown that companies employing circular business models experienced less impact from lockdown restrictions during the pandemic, indicating the resilience provided by such models [11]. Furthermore, the role of international trade in accelerating the transition to a circular economy is gaining attention, with trade policies and agreements being significant instruments to stimulate and incentivize more regenerative agricultural production.

### 3.1 Patagonia

Patagonia, an outdoor apparel company founded in 1973, is renowned globally for its strong commitment to environmental sustainability and innovative practices in resource management and waste reduction. As a leader in the industry, Patagonia has integrated circular economy principles into its core business strategy, emphasizing responsible production, consumption, and disposal practices [12].

#### *3.1.1 Worn Wear Program and Recycling Initiatives*

The Worn Wear program is one of Patagonia's flagship initiatives aimed at promoting the circular economy. This program encourages customers to buy used Patagonia products, repair their existing gear, and recycle old items. Company also actively incorporates recycled materials into its products to reduce its reliance on virgin resources and minimize waste. Key features include initiatives listed in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Sustainable Initiatives at Patagonia.

| Initiative | Description |
|---|---|
| Trade-In and Resale | Customers can trade in their used Patagonia items for store credit. These items are then cleaned, repaired, and resold through the Worn Wear website, extending the life of the products and reducing waste. |
| Repair Services | Patagonia offers repair services for damaged gear, both in-store and through mail-in options. The company has repair centers worldwide and also provides customers with DIY repair guides and kits to fix their items at home. |
| Recycled Polyester | The company uses polyester made from recycled plastic bottles in many of its products. This initiative has significantly reduced the demand for new plastic production and helped divert plastic waste from landfills. |
| Recycled Wool and Down | Sources recycled wool from old garments and uses recycled down from discarded products. These materials are repurposed to create new, high-quality apparel items. |
| NetPlus® Material | Patagonia has introduced products made from NetPlus®, a material sourced from recycled fishing nets. This initiative not only reduces plastic waste in the ocean but also supports marine conservation efforts. |

#### *3.1.2 Environmental Activism and Advocacy*

Patagonia is not only committed to sustainable business practices but also actively engages in environmental activism. The company supports numerous environmental causes and campaigns, aligning its corporate mission with broader sustainability goals. Key activities include "1% for the Planet" initiative, company pledges 1% of its sales to environmental organizations worldwide. This commitment supports grassroots environmental efforts and promotes conservation initiatives. Patagonia takes bold stances on environmental issues, advocating for policies that protect public lands and combat climate change. The company

uses its platform to raise awareness and mobilize its customer base to take action.

### *3.1.3 Impact of Patagonia's Practices*

Patagonia's innovative practices in resource management and waste reduction have led to significant environmental and economic benefits. The company's use of recycled materials has been a cornerstone of its sustainability efforts. For instance, in 2020, Patagonia reported that 68% of its fabrics were made with recycled materials, including polyester from plastic bottles and wool from old garments. This initiative alone has helped save 20,000 tons of CO2 annually, equivalent to the emissions of 4,400 cars. The Worn Wear program has further amplified Patagonia's impact by promoting product longevity and waste reduction. Since its inception, the program has facilitated the resale of over 120,000 items, diverting substantial amounts of textile waste from landfills. Additionally, Patagonia's repair centers conduct approximately 50,000 repairs each year, making it one of the largest garment repair operations globally [12]. This not only reduces waste but also encourages a culture of reuse among consumers. Furthermore, Patagonia's commitment to sustainability resonates with environmentally conscious consumers, resulting in strong customer loyalty and engagement. The company's transparent and ethical business practices enhance its brand value and attract a dedicated customer base. According to a 2020 report, Patagonia's customer loyalty rate is 20% higher than the industry average, a testament to the brand's effective engagement strategies [13]. Patagonia's active engagement in environmental activism further aligns its corporate mission with broader sustainability goals. Through its "1% for the Planet" initiative, Patagonia has donated over $100 million to environmental organizations since 1985 [14]. Additionally, the company's advocacy for policies protecting public lands and combating climate change has positioned it as a leader in corporate environmental responsibility.

The company's holistic approach to sustainability demonstrates that responsible business practices can drive both economic and environmental benefits, setting a benchmark for other enterprises to follow. By leveraging recycled materials, promoting product longevity through its Worn Wear program, and engaging in active environmental advocacy, Patagonia showcases the tangible impacts of a well-implemented circular economy strategy.

## 3.2 Philips

Philips, a global leader in health technology and electronics, has embraced the principles of the circular economy by integrating sustainable product design into its core business strategy. The company has made significant strides in designing products that are easier to upgrade, repair, refurbish, and recycle, thereby reducing waste and conserving resources. Philips' commitment to sustainability is evident in its innovative approaches and long-term goals aimed at achieving environmental and economic benefits. Main sustainable initiatives of the company are listed in Table 2 based on [15].

**Table 2.** Sustainable Initiatives at Philips.

| Initiative | Description |
|---|---|
| Circular Design Principles | Philips has adopted circular design principles to ensure its products have a minimal environmental impact throughout their lifecycle. This involves designing products for longevity, ease of disassembly, and recyclability. For instance, Philips' healthcare equipment, such as MRI machines and ultrasound systems, are designed to be modular and upgradable. This means that instead of replacing an entire system, components can be upgraded, extending the product's useful life and reducing electronic waste. |
| Service-Based Business Models | Philips has pioneered service-based business models, particularly in its lighting and healthcare divisions. One notable example is the Philips Circular Lighting service, where customers pay for the light, they use rather than purchasing the lighting equipment. This model ensures that Philips retains ownership of the lighting fixtures, taking responsibility for maintenance, upgrades, and recycling at the end of their lifecycle. This approach not only promotes resource efficiency but also reduces the environmental impact of lighting systems. |
| Refurbishment and Reuse | Philips operates a robust refurbishment program, particularly for its medical equipment. Used medical devices are returned to Philips, where they undergo thorough inspection, refurbishment, and testing to meet the same quality standards as new products. These refurbished devices are then resold at a lower cost, making advanced medical technology more accessible while reducing waste. In 2020, Philips reported that its refurbishment program had processed over 8,000 medical devices, saving 9,000 tons of CO2 emissions. |
| Sustainable Supply Chain Management | Philips is committed to creating a sustainable supply chain by collaborating with suppliers who adhere to circular economy principles. The company's Supplier Sustainability Involvement Program ensures that suppliers follow stringent environmental and social standards. This collaboration extends to the use of sustainable materials, energy-efficient production processes, and the reduction of waste |

| | throughout the supply chain |
|---|---|

### *3.2.1 Impact of Philips Practices*

Philips' circular design and service-based business models have led to substantial environmental and economic benefits. By designing products for longevity and upgradability, Philips significantly reduces the need for new raw materials and minimizes waste. The service-based models ensure that Philips retains control over the product lifecycle, facilitating better resource management and reducing environmental impact. The refurbishment program not only diverts waste from landfills but also makes advanced technology more affordable and accessible, contributing to social equity. Philips' commitment to sustainable supply chain management further amplifies its impact by promoting best practices among its suppliers. This holistic approach ensures that sustainability is embedded at every stage of the product lifecycle, from design and production to end-of-life management.

Company's integration of sustainable product design demonstrates how businesses can achieve economic growth while prioritizing environmental stewardship. By focusing on circular design, service-based models, and refurbishment programs, Philips sets a powerful example of how the circular economy can drive both business success and sustainability.

## 3.3 Challenges, Problems, and Criticisms of Patagonia and Philips

Both Patagonia and Philips have integrated circular economy principles into their business models but in distinct ways tailored to their industry specifics. Patagonia focuses on extending the lifecycle of garments through customer engagement and tangible recycling initiatives, whereas Philips employs a service-based model that ensures product longevity and resource efficiency in the electronics sector. Despite these differences, both companies achieve significant environmental impact reductions and strengthen their market positions by enhancing customer trust and loyalty through their commitment to sustainability. While Patagonia and Philips have made significant strides in integrating circular economy principles into their business models, they face several challenges and criticisms that must be addressed to sustain and scale their efforts.

For Patagonia, one major challenge is the scalability of its circular economy initiatives. Programs like Worn Wear, which encourage customers to buy used products, repair their gear, and recycle old items, have been successful but are difficult to scale globally. The logistics of collecting, repairing, and redistributing used products can be complex and resource-intensive, potentially impacting the quality and customer satisfaction as these programs expand [16]. Additionally, Patagonia's recycling initiatives focus primarily on specific materials such as polyester and wool. However, many of their products are made from mixed materials or technical fabrics that are harder to recycle, limiting the overall effectiveness of their recycling efforts and reducing the environmental benefits [12]. Economic viability is another concern. The comprehensive repair and recycling programs implemented by Patagonia can be costly, and the financial return on these investments may not always be immediate or substantial. While these initiatives are environmentally beneficial, critics argue that they may not always make economic sense for the company, especially in competitive markets.

Similarly, Philips faces its own set of challenges with its circular economy efforts. One significant issue is the complexity and cost associated with designing products that are easier to upgrade, repair, refurbish, and recycle. Although these designs are beneficial for the environment, they often require significant upfront investments in research and development, which can be a barrier for widespread adoption [17]. Philips' service-based business models, such as the Circular Lighting service, also encounter challenges. These models require Philips to retain ownership of the products, which means the company bears the responsibility for maintenance, upgrades, and recycling. While this approach promotes resource efficiency, it can also lead to higher operational costs and complexities in managing the lifecycle of the products [18]. Furthermore, the refurbishment programs at Philips, particularly for medical equipment, are not without criticism. Ensuring that refurbished products meet the same quality standards as new ones can be challenging and costly. There is also a potential stigma associated with refurbished products, which might affect market acceptance despite the cost savings and environmental benefits.

Both companies also face broader systemic issues such as infrastructure deficits and policy misalignment. For instance, the lack of robust recycling infrastructure in many regions can hinder the effectiveness of their circular economy initiatives. Additionally, inconsistent regulations and policies across different countries can create challenges in implementing uniform sustainability practices globally. In conclusion, while Patagonia and Philips are at the forefront of integrating circular economy principles into their business models, they must navigate significant challenges related to scalability, economic viability, and systemic barriers. Addressing these issues will be crucial for sustaining their efforts and achieving long-term success in their sustainability goals.

## 3.4 Implementing circular economy practices in international business

The adoption of CE practices significantly reduces environmental pressures by keeping products and materials in use and regenerating natural systems. For instance, in Europe, the shift towards a circular economy could halve carbon dioxide emissions by 2030, compared to current levels across key sectors such as mobility, food systems, and the built environment [19]. Additionally, the circular economy's focus on resource

efficiency, such as through recycling and reusing materials, is projected to cut global greenhouse gas emissions by 39% [20]. This approach not only mitigates climate change but also reduces the extraction of virgin natural resources, thereby lessening environmental degradation.

Circular economy initiatives are poised to unlock significant economic benefits by creating new business models and job opportunities. By 2030, the circular economy could generate a net economic benefit of €1.8 trillion for Europe, enhancing resource productivity and competitiveness. These economic advantages are complemented by job creation, with projections indicating that the circular economy could generate 700,000 jobs in the EU by 2030 [21]. Moreover, businesses that align their operations with circular economy principles can tap into new profit opportunities and reduced costs from lower virgin-material requirements.

The circular economy also promotes social equity by integrating informal sectors and providing secure employment opportunities. For example, around 15 million people worldwide work as waste pickers, and formalizing their roles in recycling and collection can offer safer, more secure employment [21]. Additionally, the transition to a circular economy supports the preservation of community resources and enhances the social wellbeing of populations by reducing exposure to pollution and associated health risks.

To effectively track the progress in adopting circular economy principles, a variety of indicators have been developed. These indicators range from macro-level tools that provide national insights into material use and environmental impacts, to business-focused metrics that assess material flows and supply chain efficiency. For instance, national level circular economy indicators can complement GDP by offering insights into the sustainable use of materials and their environmental impacts at the country level [22]. However, the development of these indicators faces challenges, such as ensuring they capture the broad scope of circular economy impacts beyond just material use, including social and systemic changes.

Aligning corporate missions with the principles of the circular economy is crucial for meaningful strategic shifts in international business. This involves ensuring that the company's core purpose and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) strategy resonate with circular economy values. By doing so, each department's roadmap naturally integrates these values, guiding the creation of holistic solutions that span all dimensions of the project. Effective implementation of circular economy practices also requires mobilizing teams through cross-departmental collaboration. This includes engaging internal departments such as operations, support, and procurement, as well as external partners and suppliers. Involving these groups from the pre-diagnosis phase allows for a broader vision and cooperation, which is essential for developing comprehensive solutions. Additionally, training sessions are vital to ensure that every team member understands the implications of the circular transition. Measuring progress is another critical aspect, which involves establishing clear objectives and key performance indicators (KPIs). These KPIs may include existing environmental and resource consumption metrics as well as new, more qualitative indicators. Such measures help assess the impact of implemented actions and guide broader business strategies towards circular economy principles.

The transition to a circular economy faces several challenges, including infrastructure deficits, policy misalignment, and the need for substantial capital investment. Solutions to these challenges require a multifaceted approach. Infrastructure development is critical, with technological innovation and investment being key components. Governments and businesses must invest in research and the development of new technologies that facilitate circular practices. This includes adapting existing infrastructure to support recycling and remanufacturing processes. Policy support and a robust regulatory framework are essential to incentivize circular practices. Comprehensive policies should encourage eco-design, extend producer responsibility, and promote recycling initiatives. Collaborative efforts between governments, industry associations, and environmental organizations are crucial in crafting effective policies.

Financing and economic incentives are also vital in addressing the financial challenges of the circular economy. Clear policies on the circular economy, financial incentives, and tax reductions for circular initiatives can help overcome the hesitancy to invest in circular models. Although these models often require significant upfront capital, they offer substantial long-term economic benefits. By addressing these challenges through strategic planning, policy reform, and investment in technology, international businesses can effectively integrate circular economy practices, leading to sustainable and resilient economic models.

## 4 Conclusion

Throughout this exploration, paper have underscored the imperative for international businesses to pivot towards sustainability by embracing the principles of the circular economy. The journey through compelling case studies of pioneers like Patagonia and Philips has illuminated both the tangible benefits and the accruing challenges of this shift. Emphasizing the importance of reusing, recycling, and reducing waste within business practices not only addresses environmental concerns but also unlocks new avenues for economic growth and social equity, reaffirming the conclusion that sustainable success in today's global market necessitates an integrated approach toward circular economic models.

Drawing from discussion, it becomes clear that the adoption of circular economy principles represents a pivotal step towards a more resilient and sustainable future for international businesses. By prioritizing resource efficiency and innovation, companies can not only reduce their ecological footprint but also enhance their competitiveness in the global marketplace. This

examination calls for businesses to integrate sustainability at their core, underpinned by further research and collaboration across sectors. The path towards a sustainable future is both a collective responsibility and an unparalleled opportunity to re-imagine the way we operate in harmony with our planet.

This paper is a part of research project VEGA N.1/0270/22 Growth of Slovakia's innovative capabilities and competitiveness for foreign investors in post-covid deglobalization era from the aspect of knowledge creation and transfer.

## References

1. K. Winans, A. Kendall, H. Deng, Ren. Sust. E. Rev. **68**, 825 (2017)
2. W. W. Clark II, D. Bonato, *Circular Economy: The Strategies to Global Business Economics*. (Innovation in Global Green Technologies 2020. IntechOpen, 2019)
3. A. Pavlović, S. Nestic, G. Bošković, Ser. J. Eng. Manag. **6**, 22 (2021)
4. N. Suchek et al., Bus. Strat Env. **30**, 3686 (2021)
5. B. Ly, Cog. Bus. Manag. **8**, (2021)
6. S. Yamaguchi, OECD 2021 Trade and environment working papers, (2021)
7. H. Lehtimäki, V. V. Piispanen, K. Henttonen, S. As. J. B. Manag. C. **9**, 402 (2020)
8. J. Barrie, P. Schröder, Circ. Ec. Sust. **2**, 447 (2022)
9. B. R. Chabowski, J. Int. Bus. Stud. 1, (2023)
10. L. S. Ting, et al., Env., Dev. Sust., 1, (2023)
11. A. Kuch, Ellen MacArthur Foundation, (2023)
12. Patagonia. *Our Environmental Responsibility Programs,* (2024)
13. Patagonia. *Annual Benefit Corporation Report*, (2021)
14. Patagonia. *1% for the Planet,* (2024)
15. Philips. *Environmental, Social and Governance*, (2024)
16. G. M. Michel, et al. Fam. Con. Sci. Res. J. **48**, 165 (2019)
17. Philips. *Philips Annual Report 2023*, (2024)
18. Philips. *Philips's lighting: Sustainable LED lighting solutions*, (2024)
19. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. The circular economy in detail, (2023)
20. D. B. McGinty, World Res. In., (2021)
21. McKinsey&Company. *State of the Consumer 2024: What's now and what's next*, (2024)
22. European Commission. *Measuring circular economy - new metrics for development?* (2018)

* Corresponding author: vladyslav.bato@euba.sk