Article info

Online xx

Keywords:

downtime,

failure

municipal buses,

linear regression,

Received 18 September 2020

Accepted 16 March 2021

EVALUATION OF IMPACT OF THE OPERATIONAL AND TECHNICAL FACTORS ON DOWNTIME OF MUNICIPAL **BUSES BASED ON A LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL**

Joanna Rymarz^{1,*}, Anna Borucka², Andrzej Niewczas³

¹Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Lublin University of Technology, Lublin, Poland ²Faculty of Logistics and Management Security, Military University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland ³Motor Transport Institute, Warsaw, Poland

*E-mail of corresponding author: j.rymarz@pollub.pl

Resume

The objective of this study was to assess the effect of selected operational and technical factors on downtime of vehicles. The sample consisted of buses from a municipal transport company (Poland). Estimation of parameters of a linear regression model was performed. Month of failure (downtime event) and its type were used as predictors. Failures were divided into three categories: events related to the company's operations, including vehicle failures (1) and other (organizational) problems (2), as well as failures caused by external factors unrelated to the operations of the transport company (3). The downtime was found to be significantly associated with failure type and month of failure. A linear regression model of downtime with a reduced number of impact factors, taking into account two main failure types and two main periods of their occurrence during the year, was developed.

Available online: https://doi.org/10.26552/com.C.2021.4.A241-A247

ISSN 1335-4205 (print version) ISSN 2585-7878 (online version)

Introduction 1

In public transport systems, vehicle failures and organizational shortcomings often substantially increase passenger waiting time. In this paper, disablement of a vehicle caused by technical or operational factors has been referred to with the umbrella term "failure". Vehicle failures are of concern to both drivers and fleet managers. In public transport systems, randomness of bus departure times and travel times has an influence on the quality of transport services [1]. In a situation when compliance with the timetable is the major requirement, the real travel time in the whole transport system is adjusted to a vehicle with the lowest operational speed. In the literature, this phenomenon is known as "bunching". Bunching forces passengers to arrive early at stations and to budget long travel time [2-5].

The literature describes several corrective strategies to reduce bus bunching. Hickman has proposed a stochastic model of vehicle operations based on recursive equations for expected values of headways and bus loads [6]. His strategy of improving transport services consists in holding operating buses along the service line, in order to regulate the system on an ongoing basis.

Daganzo and other authors have developed a mixed strategy in which passenger boarding and alighting can be limited to improve the regularity of headways [8-10]. Berrebi et. al. have studied the practical effects of implementation of these corrective strategies. They demonstrated that the "bus holding" system reduced bunching, thus also decreasing average passenger waiting time [2]. Adamski and Turnau have presented a transport system control strategy in which buses were sent at specific times to critical bus stops with high numbers of passengers [11]. However, it is worth mentioning that the "bus holding" method also had negative effects, such as disturbances in traffic flow and an increase in average waiting time [1, 12-18].

An important component of the "bus holding" strategy is prediction of fleet availability. One prediction method involves simulation of readiness based on a regression model developed with use of the retrospective data.

In this paper, a linear regression model is proposed, which links the bus downtime (not-ready time) with the month of the year in which a bus was stationary and type of downtime. A regression analysis of downtime was performed based on data obtained from the municipal transport company in Lublin, Poland. The main objective was to develop a regression model with a reduced number of factors, which could be used to effectively predict bus downtime and ensure continuity of system operation.

_	indicator										
vehicle make	vehicle type	number of	average mileage per 1 vehicle	median mileage per 1 vehicle	standard deviation						
		objects (pcs)	M (km)	M _e (km)	$S_{d}(km)$						
1	single-decker	53	6041	6473	1699						
2	single-decker	20	4414	5511	2671						
3	articulated bus	27	4495	4519	926						
4	articulated bus	28	4313	4972	2197						
5	single-decker	22	3668	3523	1171						
6	articulated bus	10	5966	6226	1448						
7	single-decker	20	5062	5301	1507						
8	articulated bus	30	5500	5593	873						
9	single-decker	18	5014	5774	2504						

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of the investigated buses

2 Material and methods

Twenty one buses (8 different makes and models) were studied. The vehicles were between 10 and 16 years old. Observations were conducted in standard public transport conditions over 2 years of operation (2018-2019). The dates and times of bus arrival to and departure from the depot and the vehicle downtime were registered. Source documentation included the company's daily internal reports on the operational status of the fleet. The basic descriptive statistics of the buses are presented in Table 1.

The buses serviced standard routes in municipal traffic. The average monthly mileage was approximately 4637 km. The lowest average monthly mileage of 4313 km was recorded for a bus make 5 (Standard Deviation-SD 1711 km) and the highest mileage of 6041 km for a bus make 2 (SD 1699 km).

Fleet downtime data for the years 2018-2019 were analysed. The impact of two factors, month of failure and failure type, on the dependent variable (downtime) was considered.

Month of failure was analysed repeatedly in each year of observation and was thus an indicator of seasonality related to seasonal changes in weather and vehicle loads (number of passengers) over the year. In winter, many downtime events were caused by door freezing, failures of driver's cabin and passenger compartment heating and power outages. During the summertime, downtime was mainly due to high temperatures, i.e. engine overheating and lack of air conditioning in the vehicle.

The second factor that has been analysed was the failure type. Three types of most frequent failures (downtime events) were considered. Type A1 were failures related to a vehicle damage (e.g. broken/jammed door lock, fluid leakage, broken brakes, no ignition, engine overheating). Type A2 were organizational failures and other technical problems (e.g. a damaged wind shield, mirror, tyre). Some of these failures were related to weather conditions and some to the general status of the vehicles. Unfortunately, the data were not detailed enough to allow to discriminate which failure was caused by which factor. Type B were failures related to events outside the company's control (e.g. collision with another vehicle, freezing of the pneumatic system, vehicle trapped in the snow, a blocked route, an incident inside the vehicle).

3 Results

Downtime observation results were divided into "monthly" groups. The number of all the failures recorded in 2018-2019, broken down by month, is given in Table 2. Downtime data for the years 2018-2019, also broken down by month, are shown in Table 3. Monthly downtime duration is presented in Table 2. Figure 1 shows a box plot of downtime per month. As seen in the graph, median values of downtime are different for different months. The highest mean values were recorded in January, September, October and November. It is worth stressing that the number of failures is different for each month (Table 3). The largest number of failures occurred in March, however, the mean and median downtime values for this month were the lowest (Table 2), which means that the failures were short-term ones.

Significance of differences in downtime between months was assessed using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test.

The Chi-squared statistic was $\chi^2 = 243.98$ and the p-value the significance level $\alpha = 0.05$, the null hypothesis of equality of means was rejected. This demonstrates that there were significant differences between at least two monthly downtime groups.

Another factor that was analysed was the type of failure. The observed failures were classified as one of the three categories (types), designated here as A1, A2, B. The largest group were type A2 failures, which occurred 1338 times in the whole study period.



Figure 1 The box plot of downtime in each month

Table 2 Descriptive statistics of bus downtime distribution in each month

	median		standard deviation
month	M (minutes)	mean Me (minutes)	S_{d} (minutes)
January	65	95.4	84.8
February	37	45.8	43.2
March	39	47.7	40.7
April	41	48.7	52.4
May	38	43.3	35.3
June	44	49.2	36.9
July	50	51.8	39.5
August	55.5	86.7	95.8
September	65	104	110.
October	62	101	106.
November	61	107	118.
December	48	53.4	51.7

Tal	ble	3	Ν	uml	ber	of	fai	lures	in	each	month	in	2018-2019
-----	-----	---	---	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	----	------	-------	----	-----------

month	January	February	March	April	May	June
number of failures	332	339	369	305	227	228
month	July	August	September	October	November	December
number of failures	179	178	255	247	266	195

The number of type A1 failures was similar (1136 events). The lowest number of failures were the B type events (596 events). Descriptive statistics of downtime for each type of failure is presented in Table 4 and a box-plot of downtime versus failure type is shown in Figure 2.

significantly depending on the type of failure. The longest downtimes (though the smallest in number) were caused by the B type failures, which were outside the company's control. The shortest stoppages were related to A1 type events associated with repair of subsystems (mechanisms) or scheduled maintenance of vehicles.

As Figure 2 shows, downtime duration differed



Figure 2 The box plot of bus downtime versus type of failure

Fable 4 Descriptive statistics	of downtime	distribution	for different	types of failures
---------------------------------------	-------------	--------------	---------------	-------------------

type of failure	median (minutes)	mean (minutes)	standard deviation (minutes)	min. (minutes)	max. (minutes)
A1	10	23.8	26	1	119
A2	63	64.1	27.1	10	132
В	134	167	124.	23	399

4 Linear regression model of downtime

Based on the bus downtime data discussed in Section 3, a multi-regression model describing the relationship of downtime duration with month and type of failure was developed. The general formula for the linear regression model is as follows:

$$y = \beta o + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \varepsilon, \tag{1}$$

where y is dependent variable, β_0 is intercept, x_k are independent variables, β_k are model parameters, ε is random parameter.

The regression coefficient β_k describes by how much the average value of the independent variable y will change if the value of the independent variable x_k changes by a unit, all the other independent variables being constant. The random component in the model reflects an incomplete fit to empirical data.

Due to the fact that the independent variables had a qualitative character and formed closed sets (24 months and 3 types of failures), they had to be recoded as binary variables. Then, each variable took either the value of 1 - when the phenomenon does occur or 0 - when it does not occur. Parameters of the regression function were estimated using the least-squares method after initial elimination of a selected variable in each of the studied category. Variables with extreme average values were selected: type A1 failure and the month of April. In this way, the effect of single-signedness of the remaining parameters with regards to the level of the omitted variable was obtained.

A statistical analysis was conducted to determine the structure of the linear regression model with binary variables, which resulted from the quantitative nature of the dependent variable and the qualitative character of the independent variables. The estimated model parameters are given in Table 5.

Four of the estimated parameters were statistically insignificant. The AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) = 34011 and corrected $R^2 = 48\%$ indicate that the model does not fully explain the observed phenomena. This is also indicated by the residual distribution, which is different from the normal distribution (Lilliefors test statistics D = 0.088 and p-value < 0.001). Additionally, an analysis of the autocorrelation function (Figure 3) demonstrated significant dependencies not described by the model. This means that bus downtime is dependent on factors which have not been included in the model.

The regression equation is given by: y = 18.4+36.1 *A2 + B*137.7 +29.6*January - 216*February -13.6*March + 3.3*May + 1.8*July -3.8 *June + 23.1*August + 31.6*September + 30.0 *October + 32.1*November + 2.5*December + ε . (2)

parameter	estimate βk	std. err or $S(\beta_k)$	t value	p-value
β_0	18.4	3.379	5.432	< 0.001
failure A2	36.1	2.300	15.672	< 0.001
failure B	137.7	2.907	47.390	< 0.001
January	29.6	4.472	6.621	< 0.001
February	-21.6	4.453	-4.850	< 0.001
March	-13.6	4.357	-3.112	0.002
May	3.3	4.933	0.668	0.504
July	1.8	5.338	0.336	0.737
June	-3.8	4.930	-0.761	0.447
August	23.1	5.341	4.320	< 0.001
September	31.6	4.806	6.585	< 0.001
October	30.0	4.843	6.184	< 0.001
November	32.1	4.755	6.759	< 0.001
December	2.5	5.182	0.474	0.636

Table 5 Parameters of the linear regression model and evaluation of their significance

where Std. Err or $S(\beta_k)$ explains the accuracy of the parameter estimate (β_k) . Indicates by how many units the assessment value (estimated) differs from the actual value of parameter β_k .



Figure 3 Autocorrelation function of model residual

The quality of fit of the regression model was evaluated using *AIC* (Figure 3). The value of *AIC* was found from the following equation:

$$AIC = -2 \ln L + 2k, \tag{3}$$

where k is the number of model parameters and L is the reliability function.

In accordance with the objective of the study, in the next stage of the calculations, the model was simplified. To limit the number of predictors, it was proposed that months with similar regression coefficients should be aggregated. Statistically similar months were grouped with Pairwise Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test, which is a nonparametric test with multi-testing correction\ used to compare pairs in groups. The null hypothesis for Pairwise Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test is that there are no differences between distributions, while the alternative hypothesis is that the differences are statistically significant. The test statistic is given by:

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{N} [sgn(x_2 - x_1) \times R_i],$$
(4)

where sgn is the sign function, $R_i = \sum_{j}^{k} R_{ij}$, \mathbf{R}_{ij} is the rank of observation, $x_{I_i} x_2$ are study groups, N is sample size (number of study groups). Test results are presented in Table 6.

Based on the results, three groups of months were selected for which the downtime distributions were not significantly different. Additionally, the lack of significance of differences in each group was confirmed using the Kruskal-Wallis test. The first group of months (group I) included August, September, October and November. For this group, the chi-squared statistics $\chi^2 = 1.245$ and p-value = 0.742. The second group (group

	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November
February	0.000										
March	0.000	0.623									
April	0.000	0.738	0.542								
May	0.000	0.795	0.481	0.990							
June	0.000	0.118	0.386	0.118	0.118						
July	0.000	0.040	0.166	0.046	0.046	0.576					
August	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.022				
September	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.340			
October	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.621	0.685		
November	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.711	0.576	0.991	
December	0.000	0.120	0.376	0.117	0.117	0.910	0.701	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.001

Table 6 Pairwise Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test

Table 7 Parameters of the linear regression model

parameter	estimate	std error	t value	p-value
(intercept)	12	1.815	6.634	< 0.001
failure A2	36.8	2.285	16.104	< 0.001
failure B	135.5	2.900	46.731	< 0.001
group I	36.2	2.297	15.772	< 0.001
group III	36.3	3.387	10.697	< 0.001

II) included February, March, April, May, June, July and December. For this group $\chi^2 = 10.878$ and p-value = 0.092. The last group consisted of only one month, January, for which no goodness of fit with any other group was observed. The parameters of the estimated model are presented in Table 7.

The final form of the model was the following:

$$y = 12 + 36.8 *A2 + 135.5 * B + 36.2 *$$

grI + 36.3 * gr III. (5)

All the model parameters were statistically significant. Corrected $R^2 = 48\%$ and AIC = 34045.64. The values of the parameters describing the quality of the regression model did not differ significantly from the basic formula given by Equation (2). The reduction of the number of factors, achieved by their aggregation in the manner presented in this paper, did not reduce the quality of the initial regression model.

5 Summary

Based on a study of municipal bus operations, a linear multi-regression model of downtime, as a function of selected groups of months of the year and type of downtime event (failure), was developed. This model allows to determine the impact of climate seasonality over the year and the effect of organizational and technical factors (type of failure) on bus downtime. The model also permits to predict the availability of a transportation system as part of the strategy of ensuring the continuity of transportation services, e.g. by introducing the «bus holding» control strategy.

From among the three types of downtime events, considered as independent variables, the model includes type B failures (events outside the company's control) as the dominant type and type A2 (operational and organizational) failures (which have four times less impact than type B events). Among the selected month groups, the reduced model presents the summerautumn season, including August, September, October and November, as well as the winter season, which is represented by a single month - January. The effects of the two seasons on downtime duration are comparable and similar to the impact of A2 type failures.

Acknowledgement

The project/research was financed as part of the Lublin University of Technology's project- Regional Excellence Initiative, funded by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (contract no. 030/ RID/2018/19).

References

- SAVSAR, M. Modeling and simulation of maintenance operations at Kuwait public transport company. *Kuwait Journal of Science* [online]. 2013, 40(2), p. 115-129. ISSN 2307-4108, eISSN 2307-4116. Available from: https://journalskuwait.org/kjs/index.php/KJS/article/view/120
- [2] BERREBI, S. J., WATKINS, K. E., LAVAL, J. A. A real-time bus dispatching policy to minimize passenger wait on a high frequency route. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* [online]. 2015, 81(2), p. 377-389. ISSN 0191-2615. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2015.05.012
- [3] BORUCKA, A, NIEWCZAS, A, HASILOVA, K. Forecasting the readiness of special vehicles using the semi-Markov model. *Eksploatacja i Niezawodnosc - Maintenance and Reliability* [online]. 2019, 21(4), p. 662-669. ISSN 1507-2711. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.17531/ein.2019.4.16
- [4] CABAN J., DROZDZIEL, P., KRZYWONOS, L., RYBICKA, I.,SARKAN, B., VRABEL, J. Statistical analyses of selected maintenance parameters of vehicles of road transport companies. Advances in Science and Technology Research Journal [online]. 2019, 13(1), p. 1-13. ISSN 2299-8624. Available from: https://doi.org/10.12913/22998624/92106
- [5] CHERNOYAROV, O. V., KUTOYANTS, Y. A., MARCOKOVA, M. On frequency estimation for partially observed system with small noises and observation equations. *Communications - Scientific Letters of the University of Zilina* [online]. 2018, 20(1), p. 67-72. ISSN 1335-4205, eISSN 2585-7878. Available from: http://komunikacie. uniza.sk/index.php/communications/article/view/48
- [6] HICKMAN, M. D. An analytic stochastic model for the transit vehicle holding problem. Transportation Science [online]. 2001, 35(3), p. 215-237. ISSN 0041-1655, eISSN 1526-5447. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1287/ trsc.35.3.215.10150
- [7] LALANNE, C., MESBAH, M. Measures of association, comparisons of means and proportions for two samples or more. In: *Biostatistics and Computer-based Analysis of Health Data using Stata*. 1. ed. ISTE Press - Elsevier, 2016. ISBN 9781785481420, eISBN 9780081010846, p. 25-57.
- [8] DAGANZO, C. F. A headway-based approach to eliminate bus bunching: Systematic analysis and comparisons. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* [online]. 2009, 43, p. 913-921. ISSN 0191-2615. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2009.04.002
- [9] EDWARD, K., BEATA, K., DARIUSZ, K., DARIUSZ, M. Survival function in the analysis of the factors influencing the reliability of water wells operation. *Water Resources Management* [online]. 2019, **33**(14), p. 4909-4921. ISSN 0920-4741, eISSN 1573-1650. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-019-02419-0
- [10] MORALES, D., MUNOZ, J. C., GAZMURI, P. A stochastic model for bus injection in a public transport service. *Transportation Research Proceedia* [online]. 2019, 38, p. 688-708. ISSN 2352-1465. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2019.05.036
- [11] ADAMSKI, A., TURNAU, A. Simulation support tool for real-time dispatching control in public transport. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice* [online]. 1998, **32**(2), p. 73-87. ISSN 0965-8564. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0965-8564(97)00019-0
- [12] NIEWCZAS, A. MORAWSKI, L., DEBICKA, E. BORUCKA, A. Commercial vehicles incapacity risk analysis in the transport company. *Journal od KONBiN* [online]. 2019, 49(4), p. 321-340. ISSN 2083-4608, eISSN 2083-4608. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2478/jok-2019-0089
- [13] NIEWCZAS, A., MORAWSKI, L., DEBICKA, E., RYMARZ, J. Predicting costs of city buses' incapacity risk. *Journal of KONBiN* [online]. 2019, 49(1) p. 425-448. ISSN 2083-4608, eISSN 2083-4608. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2478/jok-2019-0022
- [14] NIEWCZAS, A., RYMARZ, J., DEBICKA, E. Stages of operating vehicles with respect to operational efficiency using city buses as an example. *Eksploatacja i Niezawodnosc Maintenance and Reliability* [online]. 2019, 21(1), p. 21-27. ISSN 1507-2711. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.17531/ein.2019.1.3
- [15] RYMARZ, J., NIEWCZAS, A., STOKLOSA, J. Reliability evaluation of the city transport buses under actual conditions. *Transport and Telecommunication Journal* [online]. 2015, 16(4), p. 259-266. ISSN 1407-6179, eISSN 1407-6179. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1515/ttj-2015-0023
- [16] SANCHEZ, S. A. Optimizing performance-based mechanisms in road management: an agency theory approach. European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research [online]. 2015, 15(4), p. 465-481. ISSN 1567-7141. Available from: https://doi.org/10.18757/ejtir.2015.15.4.3092
- [17] ZAWIERZCHOWSKA, M. Evaluation of the quality of public transport services selection of variables to be tested by the Delphic method. Autobusy. 2018, 19(5), p. 18-21. ISSN 1509-5878, eISSN 2450-7725.
- [18] ZHAO, F., ZENG, X. Optimization of transit route network, vehicle headways and timetables for large-scale transit networks. *European Journal of Operational Research* [online]. 2008, **186**(2), p. 841-855. ISSN 0377-2217. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2007.02.005