"The EUrASEANs: journal on global socio-economic dynamics"
Volume 6 (55), November - December, Year 2025;
ISSN 2539 – 5645 (Print)
on-line access: https://www.euraseans.com/6(55)
All issues of this journal are alternatively stored and archived by: the National Library of Thailand, Russian E-library and Index Copernicus library of journals, Poland

# DESIGNING DIGITALLY ENHANCED TEACHING SCENARIOS FOR APPLIED ECONOMICS: AN EVIDENCE-BASED APPROACH

Karol Trnovský

Bratislava University of Economics and Business, Slovak Republic

*The rapid digitalization of higher education, intensified by the COVID-19 pandemic, has created both opportunities and challenges for economics education. This article develops a digitally enhanced, evidence-based teaching framework for a master's program in applied economics at the University of Economics in Bratislava. Grounded in Education 4.0 principles, the framework integrates student-centered learning, critical thinking, collaboration, and selected digital tools into traditional economics instruction. Using a design-based research approach, the study conceptualizes course-level teaching scenarios for core applied economics subjects and systematically analyzes the pedagogical role of digital technologies in supporting evidence-based learning. Rather than providing an empirical impact evaluation, the article contributes a structured and transferable framework for the purposeful integration of digital tools into economics curricula. The proposed approach supports analytical rigor while enhancing student engagement and instructional coherence. The paper offers methodological foundations for future piloting and evaluation and contributes to ongoing debates on digitally enhanced higher education in economics.*

**Keywords:** economics education; digitally enhanced learning; evidence-based teaching; Education 4.0; higher education; critical thinking

**JEL Classification:** A22: Economic Education and Teaching of Economics; I23: Higher Education; Research Institutions

## Introduction

Higher education systems have undergone profound transformation driven by digitalization, evolving labor-market demands, and an increasing emphasis on transferable and analytical skills. The COVID-19 pandemic significantly accelerated this transformation by forcing universities worldwide to adopt online and hybrid teaching models, often under severe time constraints and without sufficient pedagogical preparation (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020; Carvalho et al., 2020).

---

**Karol Trnovský**

Ast. Professor (economics), Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Finance, Bratislava University of Economics and Business, Slovak Republic
Research interests: teaching methodology, economic studies, economics theory, innovative economics

Email: karol.trnovsky@euba.sk

While this rapid shift ensured continuity of education, it also exposed structural weaknesses in traditional teaching approaches and brought attention to the need for more systematic, pedagogically grounded integration of digital technologies.

In economics education, these developments pose specific challenges. Applied economics programs are expected not only to provide strong theoretical foundations but also to equip graduates with analytical, critical, and adaptive skills suitable for uncertain, data-intensive, and rapidly changing economic environments. Employers are looking for more and more graduates who can use economic reasoning to solve real-world problems, evaluate policy options, and understand empirical evidence. However, traditional lecture-based teaching methods often struggle to support these objectives effectively.

Previous research demonstrates that digital technologies alone do not guarantee improved learning outcomes. Their efficacy is contingent upon intentional integration that corresponds with pedagogical aims, learning outcomes, and institutional contexts (van Laar et al., 2017). Without such alignment, digitalization risks becoming a superficial add-on rather than a meaningful transformation of teaching and learning processes.

The aim of this article is to design and conceptualize an innovative framework for digitally enhanced, evidence-based teaching in applied economics. Rather than focusing on empirical impact evaluation, the study develops a structured pedagogical approach aligned with Education 4.0 principles and competency-based learning frameworks. By conceptualizing course-level teaching scenarios and linking them systematically to selected digital tools, the article contributes to the literature on economics education and provides a foundation for subsequent piloting and evaluation.

## Theoretical background and literature review

### *Education 4.0 and the transformation of higher education*

Education 4.0 constitutes a pedagogical paradigm that directly reflects and responds to the broader socio-economic and technological transformations characteristic of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, a period defined by the pervasive convergence of cyber-physical systems, artificial intelligence, advanced data analytics, pervasive automation, and ubiquitous digital platforms (Chaka, 2020).

In the specific context of higher education, this new paradigm requires a complete rethinking of institutional missions. It puts a lot of stress on pedagogical flexibility, the establishment of lifelong learning frameworks, the intentional development of interdisciplinary approaches, and the deep integration of digital technologies into all parts of the academic enterprise, including curriculum design, teaching methods, and assessment methods.

Consequently, Education 4.0 represents a decisive movement beyond traditional models of content transmission towards deeply learner-centred epistemologies that actively foster the development of higher-order cognitive competencies, including complex problem-solving, collaborative engagement, creative innovation, and critical systemic thinking.

Contemporary universities are therefore increasingly compelled to architect dynamic learning ecosystems that robustly support active intellectual engagement, cognitive and professional adaptability, and the continuous development of skills aligned with a rapidly evolving labour market—expectations that are intrinsically and profoundly aligned with the evolving role of economics education in equipping graduates to navigate complex, data-saturated decision-making environments.

***Implications for pedagogical practices in economics education***

For the domain of economics education, the advent of the Education 4.0 paradigm implies a necessary and significant shift away from predominantly didactic, lecture-based instructional models towards the creation of sophisticated learning environments expressly designed to facilitate the practical application of economic theory, the hands-on engagement with empirical and real-time datasets, and the nuanced interpretation of multifaceted real-world economic phenomena (Miranda et al., 2021).

Applied economics programs, in particular, are presented with a compelling imperative to develop and implement teaching approaches that systematically bridge abstract theoretical and econometric models with the complexities of policy analysis, institutional contexts, and behavioral economic considerations.

Traditional assessment methods, predominantly focused on the retention of factual knowledge or the mechanical execution of problem-solving algorithms, are revealed as increasingly inadequate for the development of these essential higher-order cognitive skills. In contrast, thoughtfully designed, digitally enhanced learning environments offer transformative opportunities to comprehensively redesign instructional scenarios in a manner that authentically supports the cultivation of analytical reasoning, structured academic discourse, and the construction of evidence-based argumentation.

***The centrality of evidence-based learning and critical thinking competencies***

The principle of evidence-based learning, which emphasizes the rigorous utilization of empirical data, the application of transparent logical reasoning, and the critical evaluation of source credibility and methodological soundness, assumes a position of paramount importance within this new educational landscape. In the specific discipline of economics, critical thinking is widely and correctly regarded as a foundational core competence, one that enables students to deconstruct economic models, interrogate their underlying assumptions, and evaluate the multifaceted implications of policy alternatives with appropriate scepticism and intellectual rigor (Siegfried & Colander, 2022).

The effective development of this complex competence demonstrably requires pedagogical conditions that extend far beyond mere exposure to formal theoretical constructs; it necessitates the deliberate creation of structured opportunities for dialogic discussion, iterative reflection, and sustained engagement with authentic empirical evidence.

Digital pedagogical tools, when deployed with strategic intent, can significantly scaffold evidence-based learning by facilitating collaborative annotation of scholarly texts, structuring complex online discussions, and enabling interactive manipulation and visualization of academic publications and large-scale datasets (Ramírez-Montoya, 2012).

Their thoughtful integration into the curriculum can thereby enhance students' cognitive capacity to interrogate foundational assumptions, systematically compare competing theoretical perspectives, and construct logically coherent and empirically substantiated arguments.

***Digitally enhanced teaching strategies: augmentation over replacement***

It is essential to conceptualize digitally enhanced teaching not as a wholesale replacement for traditional instruction, but rather as a process of strategic augmentation achieved through the purposeful and pedagogically grounded use of technology, where tool selection is strictly subordinated to predefined learning objectives.

A consistent finding within educational research indicates that the efficacy of digital tool integration is predominantly contingent upon its alignment with specific learning outcomes and overarching instructional design principles, rather than on technological novelty or sophistication per se (van Laar et al., 2017; González-Salamanca et al., 2020).

Successful digitally enhanced teaching strategies are thus characterized by their curricular coherence, procedural transparency, and inherent adaptability to diverse learner needs. Such strategies optimally function to support iterative formative assessment, encourage meaningful peer-to-peer and student-instructor interaction, and provide timely, actionable feedback mechanisms that directly enhance metacognitive awareness and learning processes. In the domain of economics education, these strategies are especially beneficial for structuring intricate analytical tasks, simulating economic dynamics, and promoting collaborative, data-driven problem-solving activities that reflect the professional challenges graduates will face.

## A methodological approach

### *Research design*

This study adopts a design-based research approach, focusing on the development of a pedagogical framework rather than on hypothesis testing or causal inference. Design-based research is particularly suitable for educational innovation, as it allows iterative development of teaching solutions grounded in theory and practice (Fadel et al., 2015).

The methodology is exploratory and conceptual, aiming to systematize innovative teaching practices within applied economics education. The study does not seek to measure learning outcomes empirically but rather to develop a coherent framework that can be piloted and evaluated in future research.

### *Step 1: definition of teaching scenarios*

Teaching scenarios were defined for selected core courses in the master's program in applied economics, including advanced microeconomics, advanced macroeconomics, behavioral economics, and public choice. Each scenario specifies learning objectives, targeted competencies, and appropriate forms of student engagement, following competency-based curriculum design principles (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2019).

The scenarios emphasize analytical reasoning, interpretation of empirical evidence, and discussion of policy implications. Instead of prescribing specific teaching methods, the scenarios define pedagogical goals and learning activities that different digital tools can support, depending on the institutional context.

### *Step 2: selection and analysis of digital tools*

Digital tools were reviewed and categorized according to their pedagogical functions, such as collaboration, evidence-based learning, and gamification. Examples include collaborative annotation platforms, interactive response systems, and tools supporting formative assessment.

The analysis focused on usability, pedagogical relevance, and alignment with critical-thinking development (Noh & Karim, 2021). Particular attention was paid to tools that support discussion, reflection, and engagement with academic texts, as these are central to evidence-based economics education.

***Step 3: framework development***

The final step involved synthesizing teaching scenarios and digital tools into a coherent framework designed for modular implementation. The framework supports gradual integration of digital elements while maintaining compatibility with institutional quality assurance systems.

This modularity allows instructors to adapt the framework to different courses, class sizes, and levels of digital readiness. It also facilitates evaluation and refinement during subsequent piloting phases.

**A digitally enhanced pedagogical framework for applied economics education**

The proposed instructional framework is systematically structured around three dynamically interconnected and mutually reinforcing dimensions: foundational pedagogical objectives, strategically selected digital tool integration, and carefully constructed learning activities. These dimensions are intentionally structured to function synergistically, establishing a cohesive and self-reinforcing ecosystem that facilitates the advancement of intricate cognitive skills and the assimilation of economic reasoning.

This three-part structure makes sure that using technology is never an end in itself. Instead, it is always based on and guided by clear educational goals. This leads to a complete and pedagogically sound teaching and learning process.

***Foundational pedagogical objectives: aligning cognition with curricular aims***

The dimension of pedagogical objectives serves as the foundational cornerstone of the framework, anchoring all subsequent technological and methodological decisions.

These objectives are rigorously derived from and explicitly aligned with overarching program-level learning outcomes, with a deliberate emphasis on cultivating higher-order cognitive competencies essential for the applied economist.

Specifically, the framework prioritizes the development of nuanced critical thinking, which involves the systematic deconstruction of arguments and evaluation of evidence; sophisticated analytical reasoning, which entails the application of logical structures to economic problems; and the meaningful application of abstract economic theory to concrete, often messy, real-world contexts.

These articulated objectives provide the essential criteria and conceptual foundation for the subsequent, principled selection of appropriate digital tools and the intentional design of corresponding learning activities, ensuring that all instructional elements are coherently directed toward a common set of intellectual goals.

***Digital tools as pedagogical enablers: strategic selection for cognitive support***

Within this framework, digital tools are not selected based on inherent technological novelty or sophistication, but rather on a critical assessment of their functional capacity to enable and enhance specific pedagogical objectives. Tools that actively help people work together to build knowledge are given priority.

These include platforms for synchronous and asynchronous co-creation, tools that let people add layers of comments and critiques to texts and data visualizations, and tools that are made for continuous formative assessment, which gives both teachers and students timely, useful information about how the learning process is going.

This selective approach ensures that technology serves as a transparent enabler of core academic practices—specifically, evidence-based discussion, peer feedback, and iterative refinement of understanding—rather than becoming a disruptive or distracting element within the educational environment.

***Learning activities and classroom practice: operationalizing theory into applied practice***

The dimension of learning activities constitutes the practical instantiation of the framework, where pedagogical objectives are operationalized through structured, student-centered tasks.

These activities are meticulously designed to bridge theory and practice, encompassing formats such as guided Socratic discussions that probe underlying assumptions, collaborative analysis of empirical datasets requiring interpretation and contextualization, and interactive problem-solving exercises that simulate policy dilemmas or market analyses. The integration of digital tools within these activities is executed with careful intentionality, aiming to augment and deepen the analytical work without compromising the intellectual rigor or disciplinary standards inherent to economics instruction.

Thus, technology seamlessly supports the activity's core purpose—whether by enabling real-time data manipulation, documenting the evolution of a group's argument, or facilitating the efficient exchange of drafts and critiques—thereby enriching the quality of engagement and the depth of the learning experience.

## Discussion

The proposed conceptual framework addresses a significant lacuna within contemporary economics pedagogy by establishing an explicit and principled linkage between the selection of digital tools, overarching pedagogical objectives, and the foundational tenets of evidence-based learning. It moves decisively beyond the prevalent instrumental view of digitalization as merely an external imposition or a superficial enhancement, instead proposing a model wherein technological integration is fundamentally embedded within the epistemological and methodological logic of applied economics instruction.

This embeddedness ensures that digital tools are not ancillary but are constitutive elements of the pedagogical process, directly serving the discipline's core aim of cultivating rigorous, evidence-informed reasoning.

Consequently, this integrated approach provides a robust mechanism for advancing the dual imperative of fostering higher-order critical thinking skills and deepening student engagement, all while meticulously preserving the analytical rigor that is the hallmark of quality economics education.

Additionally, it provides educators with a structured yet inherently flexible heuristic model that clarifies the process of digital integration, enabling them to make intentional, pedagogically sound decisions in the design and implementation of their courses.

## Conclusions and implications

In conclusion, this article articulates a theoretically grounded, digitally enhanced, and evidence-based pedagogical framework for applied economics education, consciously situated

within the broader paradigm of Education 4.0. Its primary contribution lies in its insistence on pedagogical coherence—ensuring that technology serves learning—and its modular design, which allows for contextual adaptation.

By foregrounding these principles, the framework yields transferable insights and a scalable model relevant to economics education across diverse institutional settings, transcending the specific context of its initial conception. It thereby provides a substantive foundation for the systematic modernization of economics curricula in response to the twin pressures of accelerating digital transformation and evolving labor-market exigencies.

More generally, it lays the groundwork for future empirical research by providing a conceptual and practical framework that will help scholars study effective digital teaching methods in the field.

**Limitations and directions for future research**

It is necessary to acknowledge that the present study constitutes a conceptual and design-oriented contribution; it does not, at this stage, furnish empirical evidence pertaining to the framework's direct impact on quantifiable learning outcomes or longitudinal skill development. This intrinsic limitation establishes a distinct and vital avenue for future academic inquiry. Future research must therefore prioritize the empirical piloting and rigorous evaluation of the implemented framework.

A comprehensive, mixed-methods evaluation strategy—informed by established educational research protocols (OECD, 2018)—is recommended to assess its multifaceted impact.

This should encompass not only quantitative measures of academic performance and competency acquisition but also qualitative analyses of student engagement, perceived self-efficacy, and the development of metacognitive skills. Such empirical work is crucial for validating, refining, and ultimately scaling the proposed approach within the dynamic landscape of higher education.

**Acknowledgments**

This research was supported by the KEGA project No. 016EU-4/2024.

## References:

Carvalho, L., Goodyear, P., de Laat, M. & Dohn, N. (2020). Place-based spaces for networked learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology,* 51(4): 1044–1058.

Chaka, C. (2020). Skills, competencies, and literacies attributed to Industry 4.0: A scoping review. *IFLA Journal,* 46(4): 369–399.

Darling-Hammond, L., Schachner, A. & Edgerton, A. K. (2020). *Restarting and reinventing school: Learning in the time of COVID and beyond*. Learning Policy Institute.

Fadel, C., Bialik, M., Trilling, B. & Schleicher, A. (2015). *Four-dimensional education: The competencies learners need to succeed*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

González-Salamanca, J. C., Agudelo, O. L. & Salinas, J. (2020). Key competencies, education for sustainable development, and strategies for the development of 21st-century skills. *Sustainability,* 12(23): 10366.

Miranda, J., Navarrete, C., Noguez, J., Molina-Espinosa, J.-M., Ramírez-Montoya, M. S., Navarro-Tuch, S. A., Bustamante-Bello, M.-R., Rosas-Fernández, J.-B. & Molina, A. (2021). The core components of Education 4.0 in higher education. *Computers & Electrical Engineering,* 93: 107278.

Noh, S. C. & Karim, A. M. A. (2021). Design thinking mindset and Education 4.0. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education,* 10(2): 494–503.

OECD. (2018). *The future of education and skills: Education 2030*. OECD Publishing.

Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2019). *Framework for 21st-century learning*. Battelle for Kids.

Ramírez-Montoya, M. S. (2012). *Modelos y estrategias de enseñanza para ambientes innovadores*. Tecnológico de Monterrey [in Spanish]

Siegfried, J. & Colander, D. (2022). What does critical thinking mean in teaching economics? *Journal of Economic Education,* 53(1): 71–84.

van Laar, E., van Deursen, A. J. A. M., van Dijk, J. A. G. M. & de Haan, J. (2017). The relation between 21st-century skills and digital skills. *Computers in Human Behavior,* 72: 577–588.

| | |
|---|---|
| *Paper submitted* | *07 October 2025* |
| *Paper accepted for publishing* | *01 November 2025* |
| *Paper revised* | *13 November 2025* |
| *Paper published online* | *30 November 2025* |