DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15512238

OPERATIONS SCHEDULING PROBLEM IN THE DIGITAL AGE

Martin Mišút[27], Mária Mišútová [28]

Abstract

In the digital age, scheduling has evolved from a static, rule-based task into a dynamic, strategic function deeply embedded in modern industrial and service ecosystems. This transformation is driven by the proliferation of advanced technologies—including Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), and cloud computing—under the umbrella of Industry 4.0. These technologies enable real-time data integration, predictive and prescriptive analytics, and adaptive decision-making, enhancing responsiveness, efficiency, and resilience across diverse sectors such as manufacturing, logistics, and healthcare. Modern scheduling must now accommodate high variability, multi-objective optimisation, and interconnected resource constraints, necessitating sophisticated algorithmic solutions and robust digital infrastructures. Technologies such as digital twins, blockchain, Robotic Process Automation (RPA), and big data analytics further enrich scheduling capabilities by supporting real-time simulation, secure collaboration, and data-driven optimisation. As operational complexity increases, scheduling systems transform into intelligent, self-optimising platforms that align operational execution with strategic organisational goals. This paper explores the core technological enablers, evolving challenges, and emerging trends reshaping the scheduling future in the digital transformation era.

Keywords

Scheduling problem, Industry 4.0, Operations Scheduling, Metaheuristics, Artificial Intelligence

1 Introduction

The manufacturing sector is experiencing a significant transformation driven by the rapid expansion of production scales and heightened global competition. Conventional manufacturing methods rely heavily on manual labour and are insufficient to meet modern industrial operations' complex and dynamic demands. To address these challenges, the advent of advanced technologies—such as the Internet of Things (IoT), Artificial Intelligence (AI), and sophisticated automation systems—has opened new avenues for innovation, enabling manufacturers to modernise processes, enhance efficiency, and achieve greater flexibility in production (Ouahabi, Chebak, Kamach, Laayati, & Zegrari, 2024). These technological advancements have transformed production processes by introducing higher levels of automation and intelligence while optimising resource utilisation, lowering operational costs, and markedly enhancing the efficiency and quality of manufactured products (W. Zhang, Bao, Hao, & Gen, 2025).

[27] University of Economics in Bratislava, Faculty of Economic Informatics, Department of Applied Informatics, Bratislava, SLOVAKIA, martin.misut@euba.sk, ORCID: 0000-0002-5545-2624.

[28] Slovak University of Technology, Faculty of Materials Science and Technology, Institute of Applied Informatics, Automation and Mathematics, Trnava, SLOVAKIA, maria.misutova@stuba.sk (2nd author).

Industry 4.0, as one of the leading trends in manufacturing, has profoundly reshaped manufacturing scheduling by introducing intelligent, interconnected systems that significantly enhance operational agility, efficiency, and responsiveness. At the heart of this transformation is the integration of cyber-physical systems, the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), and data analytics, all of which empower manufacturing environments to process vast amounts of real-time data for optimised decision-making (Mourtzis, 2022). These technologies enable predictive scheduling, where potential disruptions can be anticipated and mitigated in advance, a leap from traditional reactive models. Studies show that Industry 4.0 has elevated the complexity of scheduling tasks, necessitating advanced metaheuristic algorithms, like evolutionary and swarm intelligence methods, to effectively manage multi-objective optimisation scenarios involving energy use, costs, human-machine collaboration, and sustainability concerns (W. Zhang et al., 2025). Furthermore, smart factories employing autonomous robots for task allocation in flexible job shops highlight the necessity of hybrid scheduling models to manage varying machine capabilities, buffer constraints, and blocking conditions (Shakeri, Benfriha, Varmazyar, Talhi, & Quenehen, 2025). AI-driven tools such as digital twins and machine learning algorithms now simulate and optimise workflows dynamically, offering real-time schedule adjustments. These innovations reduce downtime and resource wastage and foster human-machine collaboration by allowing adaptive, decentralised decision-making. Consequently, scheduling has evolved from a static, isolated function into a core strategic enabler of competitive advantage in the era of smart manufacturing. This paper explores all these trends to give a solid base for understanding the state of the art.

2 The current state of the problem

2.1 Problem definition

Scheduling problems constitute a fundamental category within operations research (Allahverdi, Ng, Cheng, & Kovalyov, 2008), extensively utilised across various practical domains. At their core, these problems focus on allocating limited resources to multiple tasks under specific constraints, intending to optimise one or more performance metrics. (Priore, Fuente, Gomez, & Puente, 2001)

The scheduling process involves assigning tasks to appropriate resources and determining the sequence of tasks sharing the same resource, ultimately establishing their start and end times. Effective optimisation and scheduling strategies are essential for achieving objectives such as energy efficiency, resource conservation, emission reduction, cost minimisation, and overall production system enhancement (Wenqiang Zhang et al., 2024). These problems hold significant theoretical and practical relevance, with widespread applications in production planning, supply chain management, transportation, aerospace, entertainment, healthcare, and telecommunications. The academic interest in production scheduling dates back to the 1950s and has since remained a vibrant area of research due to its substantial practical impact (Serrano-Ruiz, Mula, & Poler, 2021).

The operations scheduling problem is an optimisation problem that involves allocating limited resources to tasks or operations over time to achieve specific objectives while adhering to constraints. It is a fundamental problem in manufacturing, logistics, project management, computer systems, and many others (Serrano-Ruiz, Mula, & Poler, 2024). Key components of the problem are:

1. Tasks/Operations: A set of jobs, tasks, or activities that must be completed. Each task may have multiple operations, often requiring specific sequencing or dependencies.

2. Resources: Limited entities (e.g., machines, workers, time slots, or computational units) needed to perform the operations.
3. Constraints:
   - Precedence Constraints: Some tasks must be completed before others can start.
   - Resource Constraints: Limited availability of machines, tools, or personnel.
   - Time Constraints: Deadlines, start times, or specific time windows for performing tasks.
4. Objectives: The goal of the scheduling process such to:
   - Minimising the total completion time (makespan),
   - Minimising delays or tardiness,
   - Maximising resource utilisation,
   - Minimising costs,
   - Balancing workloads.

The operations scheduling problem can be mathematically formulated using an optimization framework. The goal is to optimise a specific criterion, such as minimising completion time, costs, or delays. For example:

$$Minimize\ Z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_J C_j \tag{1}$$

Where
Z = total weighted completion time (objective function),
n = number of jobs or tasks
wj = weight or priority of job j
Cj = completion time of job j

Within this field, shop scheduling problems represent the earliest and most intensively explored subset. Shop scheduling involves optimising key manufacturing resources—such as machinery, workforce, and raw materials (Wenqiang Zhang et al., 2024) —to meet production plan requirements while maximising operational efficiency. The primary aim is to execute production plans accurately, reduce operational costs, and enhance overall productivity.

2.2 Categories of scheduling

Scheduling problems are systematically categorised according to the underlying system configurations, operational constraints, and optimisation objectives, with each category reflecting distinct real-world production and service contexts. These classifications help structure the problem space, enabling the development of targeted solution strategies that align with specific industry requirements, resource characteristics, and workflow dynamics. The categorisation also facilitates a deeper understanding of the trade-offs and complexities of managing time, capacity, and performance across various scheduling environments. Below is a comprehensive overview of the principal types of scheduling problems, highlighting their defining features, applications, and computational challenges.

A. **Single-machine scheduling** is the simplest form, where all jobs must be processed on a single machine. The challenge lies in determining the sequence of tasks to optimise a performance criterion (e.g., minimising makespan or tardiness). It applies to small-scale productions, single-operator workstations, etc. Even simple rules like Shortest Processing Time (SPT) or Earliest Due Date (EDD) can be optimal for specific objectives in this case.
B. **Multi-machine scheduling** refers to a class of scheduling problems where a set of jobs or tasks must be assigned to two or more machines to optimise specific objectives, such as

minimising completion time, tardiness, or resource idleness. This broad category encompasses various scheduling models depending on machine configurations and job characteristics. Multi-machine scheduling plays a pivotal role in optimising complex production and service systems. The choice of model and solution method depends on machine capabilities, job characteristics, and the system's operational goals. Within this category, the following types of scheduling belong:

a) In **Parallel Machine Scheduling**, jobs are assigned to multiple machines that can process tasks simultaneously (Li et al., 2024). There are several types of Parallel Machine Scheduling:
   - Identical parallel machines: All machines have the same capabilities.
   - Uniform parallel machines: Machines have different processing speeds.
   - Unrelated parallel machines: Each job has a different processing time on different machines.

   Despite its potential to improve throughput and flexibility, several inherent challenges complicate its implementation. The scheduling complexity increases when machines have different processing speeds (uniform) or different processing capabilities for each job (unrelated). Assigning the right job to the right machine becomes a combinatorial problem requiring careful balancing between job-machine compatibility and processing efficiency (van den Akker, Hoogeveen, & van de Velde, 1999). One of the main objectives of parallel machine scheduling is to evenly distribute the workload across machines to minimise makespan or idle times. Achieving an optimal load balance is difficult, especially when jobs have varying processing times or priorities. In many real-world scenarios, the time required to prepare a machine for a job depends on the previous job executed. Scheduling must consider these sequence-dependent setup times to avoid performance degradation (Ramos-Figueroa, Quiroz-Castellanos, Carmona-Arroyo, Vázquez, & Kharel, 2021). Jobs may have different weights, deadlines, or priorities. Incorporating these criteria into the scheduling algorithm adds complexity, particularly when minimising weighted tardiness or maximising on-time job completion (Sivrikaya-Şerifoğlu & Ulusoy, 1999). Deciding whether jobs can be interrupted and resumed later (preemptive scheduling) or must be processed without interruption (non-preemptive) impacts both model complexity and solution strategies. As the number of jobs and machines increases, the number of possible job-to-machine assignments grows exponentially, making it challenging to find optimal solutions within a reasonable computational time. This is especially significant in large-scale or real-time environments (D. Lei & Liu, 2020). Schedules must be generated or adjusted quickly in dynamic settings where jobs arrive continuously (e.g., cloud computing). Real-time constraints limit the use of computationally expensive exact algorithms, requiring fast heuristics or adaptive metaheuristics. Balancing multiple objectives—such as minimising makespan, energy consumption, and tardiness—adds another layer of complexity. Trade-offs between conflicting goals must be managed, often requiring Pareto-optimal or weighted objective approaches. Besides machines, jobs may require additional limited resources (e.g., operators, tools, energy), complicating the scheduling further by introducing multidimensional constraints (Charrua Santos & Vilarinho, 2010). Addressing these challenges often involves advanced modelling techniques, such as mixed-integer programming, constraint programming, metaheuristic algorithms (e.g., genetic algorithms, simulated annealing), and real-time decision support systems in smart manufacturing environments. This scheduling problem can be found mainly in data centres, textile mills, customer service centres, and others.

b) **Flow Shop Scheduling**: Each job goes through the same sequence of machines or processes (identical routing), aiming to optimise performance metrics like minimising makespan, flow time, idle time, or total completion time. There are two subtypes of Flow shop scheduling:
- Permutation Flow Shop: The job order is the same on every machine.
- Non-permutation Flow Shop: The job order may vary across machines.

Despite its structured nature, several challenges complicate effective scheduling in flow shop environments. Depending on the job sequence, transitioning from one job to another may require setup times. Efficiently scheduling jobs to minimise these setup times adds complexity to the problem (Singla, Kaur, Gupta, Modibbo, & Kaur, 2024). Certain processes require that jobs move immediately from one machine to the next without waiting. This constraint demands precise scheduling to prevent delays and maintain continuous processing.(Utama, Umamy, & Al-Imron, 2024) In scenarios where intermediate storage between machines is limited or unavailable, a job may block a machine until the next machine becomes available, leading to potential idle times and reduced throughput (Lan, Yuan, Wang, Han, & Zhou, 2024).

Flow shop scheduling is widely used in industries and environments where production processes follow a fixed, linear sequence of operations, such as assembly lines, semiconductor manufacturing, Food and Beverage Processing, Textile and Garment Production, Printing and Packaging, Logistics and Warehousing, etc.

c) **Job Shop Scheduling** involves assigning a set of jobs, each with a specific sequence of operations, to a set of machines, where each operation must be processed on a particular machine without preemption. The objective is typically to optimise performance measures such as minimising the makespan (total completion time), reducing delays, or improving resource utilisation. Job shop scheduling is notoriously difficult due to structural, operational, and computational complexities. The number of possible job sequences and machine assignments grows factorially with the number of jobs and machines. This combinatorial nature makes the problem NP-hard, meaning that exact solutions become computationally infeasible for large instances (Fan, Zhang, Tian, Shen, & Gao, 2024). Unlike flow shop environments, where all jobs follow the same machine sequence, each job has a distinct routing in job shop scheduling. This variability increases the complexity of managing job precedence, sequencing, and machine allocation. Jobs frequently compete for the same machines or resources, leading to scheduling conflicts. Managing these overlaps without violating precedence or causing idle times requires sophisticated conflict resolution strategies (Lassoued & Schwung, 2024). Scheduling must often balance conflicting objectives, such as minimising makespan, maximising throughput, reducing tardiness, and optimising resource utilisation. These multi-objective scenarios add complexity to decision-making. Setup times between consecutive jobs may depend on the job sequence, requiring consideration of not just which jobs to schedule but in what order to minimise setup overhead (Allahverdi et al., 2008). Real-world job shops face uncertainties like machine breakdowns, urgent job arrivals, or changes in due dates. Schedules must be adaptable, which is difficult to achieve with static scheduling methods. Scheduling must comply with precedence constraints, limited machine availability, maintenance windows, and sometimes even workforce skill constraints, all of which compound the scheduling difficulty (Fernandes, Homayouni, & Fontes, 2022). These factors collectively make job shop scheduling one of the most challenging problems in operations research and industrial optimisation, requiring innovative algorithmic approaches like genetic algorithms, tabu search, or reinforcement learning for practical solutions.

Job shop scheduling problems can be found in manufacturing, Automotive Industry, Electronics Manufacturing, Healthcare, Printing Industry, Service Industry, Education, Transportation and others.

d) **Open Shop Scheduling** is a scheduling problem in which a set of jobs must each be processed on a set of machines, but unlike job shop or flow shop models, the order in which each job visits the machines is not predetermined. This means each job requires processing on all machines exactly once, but the scheduler has complete flexibility to choose the sequence of operations for each job. Typical goals include minimising the makespan (total time to complete all jobs), total flow time, or machine idle time. Open Shop Scheduling can be found in health diagnostics (e.g., labs where tests can be conducted in any order), multi-skilled workforce environments, maintenance services, healthcare clinics, etc.

Job Shop Scheduling and Open Shop Scheduling are two classic scheduling models used in operations research, particularly within manufacturing and service systems. While they share similarities in dealing with multiple jobs and machines, their operational constraints and scheduling strategies differ significantly. Job Shop Scheduling is more rigid and complex, suitable for environments where each job has a unique, fixed path through machines. Open Shop Scheduling, in contrast, offers greater flexibility by allowing any order of operations, making it suitable for scenarios where the job order is not fixed in advance. Each model serves different operational needs and requires distinct optimisation approaches.

e) **Flexible Job Shop Scheduling** is an advanced and generalised version of the traditional Job Shop Scheduling Problem. It retains the core structure of the Job Shop Scheduling Problem —where each job consists of a sequence of operations—but adds machine flexibility, allowing each operation to be processed on one of several eligible machines, each potentially with different processing times (Fan et al., 2024). This type of scheduling is more realistic for modern production environments and provides better load distribution (Fu et al., 2021).

Flexible Job Shop Scheduling is applied in Automotive parts manufacturing, Aerospace Industry, Smart Factories, and electronics production. Due to its NP-hard nature, FJSS is typically tackled using Metaheuristics (Genetic Algorithms (Han et al., 2024), Particle Swarm Optimisation, Ant Colony Optimization (Liao, Zhang, Chen, & Song, 2024)), Hybrid Algorithms (Combinations of metaheuristics with local search or constraint programming (Guo, Liu, Wang, & Zhuang, 2024)), and AI-based Approaches (Reinforcement learning (Peng et al., 2024; Wan, Fu, Li, & Li, 2024; Workneh, El Mouhtadi, & El Hilali Alaoui, 2024; F. Zhang, Li, & Gong, 2024; Wenquan Zhang et al., 2024), deep learning for dynamic scheduling in real-time environments (Guo et al., 2024)). Flexible Job Shop Scheduling is modelling modern manufacturing environments with greater realism and adaptability. It supports intelligent decision-making for machine assignment and job sequencing, aiming to maximise efficiency and responsiveness in increasingly dynamic and complex production systems.

f) **Hybrid Shop Scheduling** combines features of multiple scheduling types (e.g., flow shop and job shop) and may involve parallel machines at each stage (S. Zhang, Tang, Li, Liu, & Zhang, 2021). It can be found in car manufacturing (body, paint, and assembly with different shop dynamics).

g) In **Project Scheduling**, tasks are interdependent and must follow a specific precedence network (e.g., PERT, CPM). Resources may be limited or renewable (e.g., personnel, budget). It is used in construction, software development, and research projects.

h) In **Real-Time/Online Scheduling**, decisions are made dynamically as new jobs arrive, often without complete future information. The focus is on fast heuristics and responsiveness—this type of scheduling is used in cloud computing, smart manufacturing, and logistics.
i) **Multi-Objective Scheduling** optimises multiple, often conflicting, goals (e.g., minimising energy use while maximising throughput) (Hu, Zhang, Zhang, Li, & Tang, 2024). It is implemented in Pareto efficiency, weighted sum models, or ε-constraint methods and used in Industry 4.0 systems (S. Zhang et al., 2021).
Multi-objective scheduling problems (S. Zhang et al., 2021) in workshop settings represent a prevalent challenge within today's increasingly competitive market landscape. These problems demand careful balancing among various conflicting objectives, such as minimising production time, reducing energy consumption, and ensuring high product quality (Pei, Zhang, Mei, & Song, 2022). The relative importance of each objective can shift depending on temporal or contextual factors, requiring decision-makers to tailor scheduling strategies accordingly. In real-world production environments, this complexity is amplified as decision-makers must simultaneously address customer expectations and organisational goals, all while working to streamline production cycles and minimise operational costs.

Each scheduling problem type is defined by unique modelling structures, operational constraints, and performance objectives, necessitating tailored solution approaches. These methods span a broad spectrum—from exact algorithms such as branch and bound, dynamic programming, and integer linear programming, which guarantee optimality for small to moderate-sized problems, to advanced metaheuristic techniques like Genetic Algorithms, Ant Colony Optimization, Particle Swarm Optimization, and Simulated Annealing. Metaheuristics are particularly valuable for solving large-scale, NP-hard problems where an exhaustive search is computationally impractical. The selection of an appropriate solution method depends on several factors, including the problem's dimensionality, the nature of constraints, the need for real-time responsiveness, and the trade-off between computational efficiency and solution quality. In dynamic and data-intensive environments, hybrid approaches integrating heuristic logic with machine learning or adaptive control mechanisms are increasingly adopted to enhance scheduling flexibility and scalability.

2.3 Why Traditional Scheduling Methods Need Improvement in Today's Conditions

In the rapidly evolving landscape of modern industries, traditional scheduling methods are increasingly proving inadequate (Marzia, Alejandro Vital, & Azab, 2023). Several factors contribute to the necessity for more advanced scheduling solutions. First is the complexity of operations, as modern manufacturing environments are characterised by high-mix, low-volume production, requiring frequent schedule adjustments (Pei et al., 2022). Traditional tools struggle to accommodate this variability, often leading to bottlenecks and delays (Dios & Framinan, 2016). Conventional scheduling systems often lack the flexibility to dynamically adjust to changes and constraints, leading to inefficiencies and delays. Manual scheduling methods are prone to errors and inefficiencies, especially when handling large volumes of data. This can lead to miscommunication, double-booking, and scheduling conflicts (Rannertshauser, Kessler, & Arlinghaus, 2022). The advent of Industry 4.0 and smart manufacturing necessitates scheduling systems that can integrate with IoT devices, AI, and real-time data analytics. Traditional methods lack this capability, hindering operational efficiency (Salatiello, Guizzi, Marchesano, & Santillo, 2022). Rigid scheduling can negatively impact employee morale and may not comply with labour laws regarding work hours and conditions. Modern scheduling solutions offer greater flexibility, improving employee satisfaction and compliance (Fu et al., 2021).

In summary, the limitations of traditional scheduling methods in handling the complexities and dynamic nature of modern operations underscore the need for more advanced, flexible, and integrated scheduling solutions.

3 Scheduling Problems in the Digital Age

The Scheduling Problem in the digital age has evolved significantly, transitioning from rigid, rule-based systems to dynamic, intelligent frameworks that harness modern technologies and data-centric methodologies. Driven by the advancements of Industry 4.0—including Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and Cyber-Physical Systems—scheduling today is characterised by adaptability, real-time responsiveness, and predictive capabilities (Mantravadi, Srai, & Møller, 2023). This transformation enables more efficient and informed decision-making while addressing long-standing challenges in resource allocation and task sequencing. At the same time, digitalisation has introduced new layers of complexity, requiring scheduling systems to manage greater variability, integrate with interconnected systems, and respond swiftly to operational changes. As a result, scheduling in the digital age emerges as a critical optimisation problem and a strategic enabler of agility and resilience in increasingly complex industrial ecosystems (Marzia et al., 2023).

Sensors, IoT devices, and real-time monitoring systems play a pivotal role in modern scheduling by continuously capturing data on machine conditions, resource availability, and task execution status. This real-time flow of information from physical assets and enterprise systems directly informs scheduling algorithms, allowing them to adapt dynamically to evolving operational conditions (K. Lei et al., 2024). As a result, schedules can be automatically updated in response to machine breakdowns, workforce changes, inventory fluctuations, or shifting production priorities. This live data integration ensures that scheduling decisions remain accurate, timely, and aligned with current system states, enhancing responsiveness, minimising disruptions, and improving overall operational efficiency.

Contemporary production systems are increasingly characterised by integrating diverse and advanced resources, including cloud computing platforms, robotic automation, and AI-driven technologies. These systems often operate under high-mix, low-volume conditions, where customised products and services are the norm. Effective scheduling in such environments requires sophisticated algorithms capable of managing heterogeneous resources with distinct capabilities and constraints (Romero-Silva & Hernández-López, 2020). Furthermore, scheduling must simultaneously accommodate flexible job routings, dynamic resource availability, and a range of performance objectives—such as minimising lead time, maximising throughput, and ensuring product quality. This complexity necessitates intelligent, adaptable scheduling solutions to optimise operations in real time while maintaining agility and responsiveness.

Traditional static scheduling approaches are increasingly inadequate in today's rapidly changing and complex operational environments. The digital age demands adaptive scheduling systems capable of dynamically responding to disruptions such as equipment failures, supply chain delays, or sudden demand fluctuations. To meet these challenges, modern scheduling leverages historical and real-time data to forecast resource constraints, anticipate task durations, and detect potential bottlenecks. Predictive analytics facilitates proactive scheduling, allowing organisations to optimise performance, minimise downtime, and enhance responsiveness. Unlike conventional scheduling, which typically focuses on a single objective, digital-era scheduling must balance multiple, often conflicting goals—including minimising energy consumption, maximising throughput, meeting delivery deadlines, and maintaining product quality—while adhering to diverse constraints such as workforce availability machine maintenance, and sustainability requirements (W. Zhang et al., 2025). To address the inherent complexity and NP-hard nature of these problems, advanced computational techniques such as AI-driven methods and metaheuristic algorithms (e.g., genetic algorithms, reinforcement learning) are employed (Ma et al., 2024; Neumann, Hajji, Rekik, & Pellerin, 2022; Serrano-Ruiz, Mula, & Poler, 2022; Serrano-Ruiz et al., 2024; Vespoli, Grassi, Guizzi, & Santillo, 2019; Xiong, Wang, Shi, & Chen, 2024). These approaches support both predictive and prescriptive scheduling, enabling intelligent, flexible, and forward-looking decision-making in increasingly dynamic industrial ecosystems.

Schedulers today function within highly interconnected environments where machines, systems, and human operators are seamlessly linked through cyber-physical networks. These advanced environments demand that scheduling systems are no longer isolated tools but integral components of broader digital ecosystems, including Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), Manufacturing Execution Systems (MES), and Supply Chain Management (SCM) platforms (Mantravadi et al., 2023). Such integration ensures that scheduling decisions consistently align with organisational goals, operational constraints, and real-time market dynamics. Cloud computing further enhances this integration by offering scalable, centralised infrastructure to coordinate scheduling activities across geographically dispersed facilities and business units. At the same time, edge computing empowers localised, low-latency decision-making directly at the shop floor or machine level, enabling rapid response to real-time events without the delay of cloud-based processing. This dual-layer architecture—combining centralised oversight with decentralised agility—supports intelligent, synchronised, and resilient scheduling practices essential for thriving in digitally transformed, fast-paced industrial ecosystems (Pinto, Silva, Thürer, & Moniz, 2024).

In the digital era, scheduling has evolved from a static, rule-based planning activity into a strategic, intelligence-driven function that leverages real-time data, machine learning, and automation to orchestrate complex operations. No longer confined to predefined routines, modern scheduling systems dynamically adapt to shifting conditions, optimise resource utilisation, and align operational execution with broader organisational goals. This transformation has positioned scheduling as a critical enabler of agility, efficiency, and resilience across various industries, including manufacturing, logistics, healthcare, and beyond, where timely, data-informed decisions are essential to maintaining competitive performance in increasingly volatile and interconnected environments.

3.1 Key Technologies Driving Modern Scheduling

Modern scheduling has undergone a profound transformation by integrating advanced digital technologies that significantly enhance flexibility, precision, and real-time adaptability. These innovations have shifted scheduling from a reactive, manual process to a proactive, intelligent capability that empowers dynamic decision-making and continuous optimisation. Across diverse sectors such as manufacturing, healthcare, transportation, and services, these technologies are essential for navigating complexity, responding to disruptions, and meeting evolving operational demands quickly and efficiently. The following represent the core technological drivers fueling this evolution.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and **Machine Learning** (ML) are revolutionising scheduling by transforming it from static, rule-based planning into intelligent, adaptive systems capable of navigating complex and dynamic environments. These technologies leverage vast volumes of historical and real-time data to enable predictive scheduling— forecasting demand, resource availability, and disruptions—and prescriptive scheduling—by recommending optimised actions. AI algorithms identify patterns and optimise scheduling decisions across multiple variables and constraints, while ML models continuously refine their accuracy by learning from previous scheduling outcomes. **Reinforcement Learning** (RL) proves especially effective in highly variable and time-sensitive contexts, as it adapts to evolving scenarios through feedback-driven learning. By embedding AI and ML into scheduling frameworks, organisations can achieve greater operational efficiency, flexibility, and resilience, making scheduling systems more responsive to the demands of the digital age.

The **Internet of Things** (IoT) fundamentally reshapes scheduling by enabling continuous, real-time data exchange between physical assets, such as machines, sensors, tools, and mobile resources, and digital systems. By providing accurate, up-to-date information on resource status, location, and operational conditions, IoT empowers scheduling systems to move beyond static planning toward adaptive, condition-based scheduling. This allows timely decisions responding to equipment performance, inventory levels, or workflow disruptions. Applications such as RFID tags for inventory tracking and smart sensors on machinery facilitate predictive maintenance, dynamic workload balancing, and efficient resource allocation. As a result, IoT transforms scheduling into a responsive and intelligent process, enhancing operational agility, minimising downtime, and supporting the evolution of lean, resilient, and interconnected systems in both industrial and service sectors.

Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) represent a foundational component of smart manufacturing and Industry 4.0, seamlessly integrating physical machinery with computational intelligence through networks of sensors, actuators, and control systems. In the scheduling context, CPS facilitates real-time, autonomous decision-making by enabling continuous monitoring, analysis, and adjustment of operations based on dynamic data inputs. This bidirectional interaction between digital and physical layers allows for decentralised and adaptive scheduling, where systems can self-optimise and respond proactively to changes in demand, resource availability, or environmental conditions. CPS transforms conventional scheduling into an intelligent, collaborative process that ensures alignment between operational execution and strategic planning. As industrial environments grow more complex and interconnected, CPS is pivotal in delivering robust, efficient, and future-ready scheduling solutions.

Cloud Computing provides a scalable and flexible infrastructure that supports storing, processing, and analyzing large-scale scheduling data across geographically distributed systems. By enabling the deployment of complex scheduling algorithms on demand, cloud platforms facilitate real-time optimisation and coordination of operations across multiple sites or global networks. This centralised yet accessible architecture allows stakeholders to interact with scheduling systems remotely, promoting collaboration, consistency, and visibility across organisational boundaries. Moreover, integrating distributed computing within cloud environments enhances computational efficiency, rapidly resolving high-dimensional scheduling problems that would otherwise be intractable on local systems. As a result, Cloud Computing emerges as a critical enabler of adaptive, responsive, and data-driven scheduling in modern, interconnected enterprises.

Digital Twins, as virtual representations of physical systems such as factories or production lines, offer a transformative capability for real-time simulation and evaluating scheduling strategies. In scheduling contexts—particularly within complex, data-intensive environments like manufacturing, logistics, and healthcare—Digital Twins enable the visualisation of system behaviour, prediction of future states, and optimisation of scheduling decisions before real-world implementation. This simulation-driven approach enhances decision-making by allowing planners to assess the potential impacts of various scheduling scenarios, thereby reducing uncertainty, improving operational agility, and facilitating proactive adjustments. By integrating real-time data and advanced analytics, Digital Twins support continuous monitoring and adaptation, positioning them as a critical enabler of intelligent, collaborative, and responsive scheduling systems in the digital era.

Blockchain technology, characterised by its decentralised, transparent, and tamper-resistant ledger structure, is emerging as a significant enabler of secure and collaborative scheduling, particularly in multi-agent, cross-organisational, and supply chain contexts. By ensuring immutable and verifiable data exchange, blockchain enhances transparency, fosters trust, and facilitates traceability across scheduling processes involving multiple stakeholders. Its integration into scheduling systems supports the automation of transactional workflows and reinforces data integrity and accountability, which are critical in environments requiring high levels of coordination and compliance. Although not a substitute for optimisation algorithms, blockchain is a foundational infrastructure that strengthens modern scheduling operations' reliability, transparency, and autonomy.

Robotic Process Automation (RPA) utilises software bots to automate repetitive, rule-based digital tasks traditionally executed by human operators, significantly enhancing the efficiency and reliability of scheduling processes. In the scheduling context, RPA streamlines routine workflows, ensures the seamless integration of disparate information systems, and enables the rapid and accurate execution of planning activities. By relieving human planners from manual, time-consuming tasks, RPA allows them to focus on higher-level strategic decision-making. Although it does not replace advanced optimisation algorithms, RPA is a crucial enabler of scalable, responsive, and automated scheduling, particularly in data-intensive environments where timely updates and consistent schedule maintenance are essential.

Big Data Analytics has become a pivotal enabler of advanced scheduling in contemporary operational environments by transforming traditionally static planning processes into dynamic, data-driven optimisation systems. Processing and analysing vast volumes of structured and unstructured operational data facilitates demand forecasting, workload prediction, and performance enhancement. This capability allows scheduling systems to proactively anticipate trends and disruptions, supporting more agile and responsive decision-making. Across diverse domains such as manufacturing, healthcare, logistics, and workforce management, Big Data Analytics enables the extraction of actionable insights that inform precise, predictive, and personalised scheduling strategies. As a result, scheduling evolves from a routine administrative task into a strategic, insight-centric function capable of adapting to system variability, identifying latent inefficiencies, and fostering continuous operational improvement in the digital era.

3.2 Industry 4.0 and Scheduling

Current environments introduce high variability and demand adaptable scheduling to manage diverse product types and fluctuating production requirements (Dabwan, Kaid, Al-Ahmari, Alqahtani, & Ameen, 2024). The primary challenges in this problem involve managing task allocation across multiple non-identical robots, coordinating resources to prevent delays caused by limited buffer space and blocking conditions, and optimising timing to avoid downtime (Shakeri et al., 2025). These challenges are particularly pronounced in real-world Industry 4.0 environments, where complex dependencies exist across multi-stage tasks and specific layout requirements. These challenges go beyond traditional approaches that often oversimplify task allocation by assuming uniform robots or omitting buffer constraints, highlighting the need for a more robust scheduling approach (Shakeri et al., 2025).

The growing demand for enhanced product customisation, a key value driver in production, is met by the flexibility and responsiveness offered by modern Information Technologies (ITs) (Vespoli et al., 2019). The rise of Cyber-Physical Systems, the Internet of Things, the Internet of Services, big data analytics, and cloud computing (Hermann et al., 2016) is ushering in a new era of manufacturing, exemplified by Industry 4.0 and Cloud Manufacturing, where machines are intelligently interconnected (Grassi, Guizzi, Santillo, & Vespoli, 2020).

The advent of Industry 4.0 has brought a paradigm shift in how scheduling is perceived and executed within modern industrial and service ecosystems. Characterised by the convergence of cyber-physical systems, the Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and cloud computing, Industry 4.0 has redefined scheduling from a static planning task into a dynamic, integrated, and intelligent function. In this new context, scheduling is no longer confined to isolated timetables or manual adjustments but is embedded within real-time, interconnected systems that continuously adapt to changing conditions. The ability to collect, process, and respond to live data from machines, sensors, and enterprise platforms enables proactive decision-making, predictive maintenance scheduling, and optimisation of resource utilisation across distributed networks.

Moreover, incorporating technologies such as digital twins, reinforcement learning, and edge computing allows for scenario simulation, decentralised control, and ultra-responsive rescheduling capabilities. This enhances operational agility and resilience and aligns scheduling with broader objectives like sustainability, customer customisation, and lean manufacturing. However, the complexity introduced by Industry 4.0 also necessitates a new generation of scheduling algorithms and frameworks capable of handling multi-objective constraints and collaborative decision-making in a highly volatile environment. Reflecting on this transformation, it is evident that scheduling under Industry 4.0 is not just a technical enhancement but a strategic shift toward smarter, more autonomous, and adaptive production systems, paving the way for a new era of operational excellence.

A cornerstone of Industry 4.0 is the **Manufacturing Execution System** (MES) (Mantravadi et al., 2023). MES acts as a critical link between the planning and execution phases of production, coordinating and optimising processes (Serôdio, Mestre, Cabral, Gomes, & Branco, 2024). Its functionalities include data gathering, production dispatch, tool and equipment maintenance, detailed scheduling, resource allocation, and product quality control (Mehdiyev, Mayer, Lahann, & Fettke, 2024). MES ensures efficient and responsive operations by dynamically adjusting production schedules based on real-time data (Morgan, Halton, Qiao, & Breslin, 2021).

Several benefits underscore the necessity of advanced scheduling systems: enhanced efficiency through streamlined operations and reduced downtime; reduced operational costs via optimised resource utilisation and minimised waste; improved resource management with effective allocation of tasks and resources; and real-time adaptation capabilities that allow adjustments to changing demands and conditions (Bakon, Holczinger, Süle, Jaskó, & Abonyi, 2022; Fu et al., 2021).

3.3 Future trends in scheduling

As industries continue to evolve under the influence of digital transformation, the future of scheduling is poised to become increasingly intelligent, autonomous, and integrative. One of the most prominent trends is the widespread adoption of **Artificial Intelligence** (AI) and **Machine Learning** (ML), which will drive the development of self-optimising scheduling systems capable of learning from past data, adapting to new patterns, and making predictive and prescriptive decisions in real-time. These systems will be critical in managing the growing complexity of multi-objective, constraint-rich environments.

Another key trend is the expansion of real-time, decentralised scheduling through edge computing and **Cyber-Physical Systems**. These technologies will enable localised decision-making at the machine or workstation level, reducing latency and enhancing responsiveness, especially in smart factories and logistics hubs. Coupled with the **Internet of Things** integration, future scheduling systems will leverage continuous sensor data streams to update and refine plans dynamically, ensuring alignment with actual conditions on the ground.

Digital Twins will also play an increasingly central role, allowing for advanced simulation, scenario testing, and performance forecasting before implementing schedules in the real world. These virtual environments will support risk-free experimentation and continuous process improvement. In parallel, blockchain technology is expected to enhance trust, traceability, and transparency in collaborative and supply chain scheduling, ensuring secure and verifiable scheduling transactions across organisational boundaries.

Furthermore, the rise of sustainability-driven scheduling will push systems to incorporate energy efficiency, carbon footprint reduction, and resource conservation as primary objectives, aligning with global environmental goals. **Human-centric scheduling** is also gaining momentum, focusing on employee well-being, ergonomic constraints, and work-life balance through personalised and adaptive scheduling tools.

In summary, the future of scheduling lies in intelligent automation, real-time adaptability, and strategic integration—delivering operational efficiency, resilience, sustainability, and human-centric value in increasingly dynamic and interconnected ecosystems.

4 Conclusion

The transformation of scheduling in the digital age reflects a broader shift toward intelligent, adaptive, and interconnected operational ecosystems. Driven by Industry 4.0 technologies, modern scheduling systems have advanced far beyond their traditional, static counterparts to become integral components of real-time, data-driven decision-making frameworks. These systems respond dynamically to disruptions and variability and anticipate future needs through predictive analytics and machine learning. As scheduling increasingly integrates with enterprise platforms such as ERP, MES, and SCM, it enables seamless alignment between operational processes and strategic objectives. Technologies like digital twins, blockchain, and cloud-edge architectures facilitate decentralised control, simulation-based planning, and secure collaboration, making scheduling more resilient and scalable. The emphasis will shift toward sustainability, human-centric design, and intelligent automation, ensuring that scheduling continues to evolve as a cornerstone of operational excellence. In this rapidly changing environment, the ability to orchestrate complex, multi-constraint systems through smart scheduling will be essential for achieving competitiveness, responsiveness, and long-term value creation across industries.

5 Resources

Allahverdi, A., Ng, C. T., Cheng, T. C. E., & Kovalyov, M. Y. (2008). A survey of scheduling problems with setup times or costs. *European Journal of Operational Research, 187*(3), 985-1032. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2006.06.060

Bakon, K., Holczinger, T., Süle, Z., Jaskó, S., & Abonyi, J. (2022). Scheduling under uncertainty for Industry 4.0 and 5.0. *IEEE Access, 10*, 74977-75017.

Dabwan, A., Kaid, H., Al-Ahmari, A., Alqahtani, K. N., & Ameen, W. (2024). An Internet-of-Things-Based Dynamic Scheduling Optimisation Method for Unreliable Flexible Manufacturing Systems under Complex Operational Conditions. *Machines, 12*(3), 192. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2075-1702/12/3/192

Dios, M., & Framinan, J. M. (2016). A review and classification of computer-based manufacturing scheduling tools. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 99*, 229-249. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2016.07.020

Fan, J., Zhang, C., Tian, S., Shen, W., & Gao, L. (2024). Flexible job-shop scheduling problem with variable lot-sizing: An early release policy-based matheuristic. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 193*, 110290. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2024.110290

Fernandes, J. M. R. C., Homayouni, S. M., & Fontes, D. B. M. M. (2022). Energy-Efficient Scheduling in Job Shop Manufacturing Systems: A Literature Review. *Sustainability, 14*(10), 6264. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/10/6264

Fu, Y., Hou, Y., Wang, Z., Wu, X., Gao, K., & Wang, L. (2021). Distributed scheduling problems in intelligent manufacturing systems. *Tsinghua Science and Technology, 26*(5), 625-645.

Grassi, A., Guizzi, G., Santillo, L. C., & Vespoli, S. (2020). On the modelling of a decentralised production control system in the Industry 4.0 environment. *IFAC-PapersOnLine, 53*(2), 10714-10719. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2020.12.2850

Guo, H., Liu, J., Wang, Y., & Zhuang, C. (2024). An improved genetic programming hyper-heuristic for the dynamic flexible job shop scheduling problem with reconfigurable manufacturing cells. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 74*, 252-263. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2024.03.009

Han, X., Cheng, W., Meng, L., Zhang, B., Gao, K., Zhang, C., & Duan, P. (2024). A dual population collaborative genetic algorithm for solving flexible job shop scheduling problem with AGV. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation, 86*, 101538. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2024.101538

Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Zhang, Z., Li, Z., & Tang, Q. (2024). Matheuristic and learning-oriented multi-objective artificial bee colony algorithm for energy-aware flexible assembly job shop scheduling problem. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 133*, 108634. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2024.108634

Charrua Santos, F., & Vilarinho, P. (2010). The Problem of Scheduling in Parallel Machines: A Case Study. *Lecture Notes in Engineering and Computer Science, 3*.

Lan, Y., Yuan, Y., Wang, Y., Han, X., & Zhou, Y. (2024). Flow shop scheduling problems with transportation constraints revisited. *Theoretical Computer Science, 985*, 114349. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2023.114349

Lassoued, S., & Schwung, A. (2024). Introducing PetriRL: An innovative framework for JSSP resolution integrating Petri nets and event-based reinforcement learning. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 74*, 690-702. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2024.04.028

Lei, D., & Liu, M. (2020). An artificial bee colony with division for distributed unrelated parallel machine scheduling with preventive maintenance. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 141*, 106320. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106320

Lei, K., Guo, P., Wang, Y., Zhang, J., Meng, X., & Qian, L. (2024). Large-Scale Dynamic Scheduling for Flexible Job-Shop With Random Arrivals of New Jobs by Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 20*(1), 1007-1018. doi:10.1109/TII.2023.3272661

Li, Y., Liu, J., Wang, L., Liu, J., Tang, H., Guo, J., & Xu, W. (2024). A K-means-Teaching Learning based optimisation algorithm for parallel machine scheduling problem. *Applied Soft Computing, 161*, 111746. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2024.111746

Liao, X., Zhang, R., Chen, Y., & Song, S. (2024). A new artificial bee colony algorithm for the flexible job shop scheduling problem with extra resource constraints in numeric control centers. *Expert Systems with Applications, 249*, 123556. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.123556

Ma, Y., Shi, J., Cai, J., Liu, J., Qiao, F., & Liao, Y. (2024). A semi-supervised production scheduling method based on co-training deep neural network for smart shop floors. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 194*, 110383. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2024.110383

Mantravadi, S., Srai, J. S., & Møller, C. (2023). Application of MES/MOM for Industry 4.0 supply chains: A cross-case analysis. *Computers in industry, 148*, 103907.

Marzia, S., AlejandroVital, S., & Azab, A. (2023). Automated process planning and dynamic scheduling for smart manufacturing: A systematic literature review. *Manufacturing Letters, 35*, 861-872. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mfglet.2023.07.013

Mehdiyev, N., Mayer, L., Lahann, J., & Fettke, P. (2024). Deep learning-based clustering of processes and their visual exploration: An industry 4.0 use case for small, medium-sized enterprises. *Expert Systems, 41*(2), e13139.

Morgan, J., Halton, M., Qiao, Y., & Breslin, J. G. (2021). Industry 4.0 smart reconfigurable manufacturing machines. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 59*, 481-506.

Mourtzis, D. (2022). Advances in Adaptive Scheduling in Industry 4.0. *Frontiers in Manufacturing Technology, 2*. doi:10.3389/fmtec.2022.937889

Neumann, A., Hajji, A., Rekik, M., & Pellerin, R. (2022). A Didactic Review On Genetic Algorithms For Industrial Planning And Scheduling Problems*. *IFAC-PapersOnLine, 55*(10), 2593-2598. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2022.10.100

Ouahabi, N., Chebak, A., Kamach, O., Laayati, O., & Zegrari, M. (2024). Leveraging digital twin into dynamic production scheduling: A review. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 89*, 102778. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2024.102778

Pei, F., Zhang, J., Mei, S., & Song, H. (2022). Critical Review on the Objective Function of Flexible Job Shop Scheduling. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2022*(1), 8147581. doi:https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8147581

Peng, S., Xiong, G., Yang, J., Shen, Z., Tamir, T. S., Tao, Z., . . . Wang, F.-Y. (2024). Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning for Extended Flexible Job Shop Scheduling. *Machines, 12*(1), 8. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2075-1702/12/1/8

Pinto, M., Silva, C., Thürer, M., & Moniz, S. (2024). Nesting and scheduling optimisation of additive manufacturing systems: Mapping the territory. *Computers & Operations Research, 165*, 106592. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2024.106592

Priore, P., Fuente, D. D. L., Gomez, A., & Puente, J. (2001). A review of machine learning in dynamic scheduling of flexible manufacturing systems. *Artif. Intell. Eng. Des. Anal. Manuf., 15*(3), 251–263. doi:10.1017/s0890060401153059

Ramos-Figueroa, O., Quiroz-Castellanos, M., Carmona-Arroyo, G., Vázquez, B., & Kharel, R. (2021). Parallel-Machine Scheduling Problem: An Experimental Study of Instances Difficulty and Algorithms Performance. In P. Melin, O. Castillo, & J. Kacprzyk (Eds.), *Recent Advances of Hybrid Intelligent Systems Based on Soft Computing* (pp. 13-49). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Rannertshauser, P., Kessler, M., & Arlinghaus, J. C. (2022). Human-centricity in the design of production planning and control systems: A first approach towards Industry 5.0. *IFAC-PapersOnLine, 55*(10), 2641-2646. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2022.10.108

Romero-Silva, R., & Hernández-López, G. (2020). Shop-floor scheduling as a competitive advantage: A study on the relevance of cyber-physical systems in different manufacturing contexts. *International Journal of Production Economics, 224,* 107555. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.107555

Salatiello, E., Guizzi, G., Marchesano, M. G., & Santillo, L. C. (2022). Assessment of performance in Industry 4.0 enabled Job-Shop with a due-date based dispatching rule. *IFAC-PapersOnLine, 55*(10), 2635-2640. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2022.10.107

Serôdio, C., Mestre, P., Cabral, J., Gomes, M., & Branco, F. (2024). Software and architecture orchestration for process control in industry 4.0 enabled by cyber-physical systems technologies. *Applied Sciences, 14*(5), 2160.

Serrano-Ruiz, J. C., Mula, J., & Poler, R. (2021). Smart manufacturing scheduling: A literature review. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 61*, 265-287. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2021.09.011

Serrano-Ruiz, J. C., Mula, J., & Poler, R. (2022). Toward smart manufacturing scheduling from an ontological approach of job-shop uncertainty sources. *IFAC-PapersOnLine, 55*(2), 150-155. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2022.04.185

Serrano-Ruiz, J. C., Mula, J., & Poler, R. (2024). Job shop smart manufacturing scheduling by deep reinforcement learning. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration, 38*, 100582. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jii.2024.100582

Shakeri, Z., Benfriha, K., Varmazyar, M., Talhi, E., & Quenehen, A. (2025). Production scheduling with multi-robot task allocation in a real industry 4.0 setting. *Scientific Reports, 15*(1), 1795. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-84240-3

Singla, S., Kaur, H., Gupta, D., Modibbo, U. M., & Kaur, J. (2024). No idle flow shop scheduling models for optimisation of machine rental costs with processing and separated setup times. *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics, 10*. doi:10.3389/fams.2024.1355237

Sivrikaya-Şerifoğlu, F., & Ulusoy, G. (1999). Parallel machine scheduling with earliness and tardiness penalties. *Computers & Operations Research, 26*(8), 773-787. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0548(98)00090-2

Utama, D. M., Umamy, S. Z., & Al-Imron, C. N. (2024). No-Wait Flow Shop scheduling problem: a systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. *RAIRO-Oper. Res., 58*(2), 1281-1313. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1051/ro/2024008

van den Akker, J. M., Hoogeveen, J. A., & van de Velde, S. L. (1999). Parallel Machine Scheduling by Column Generation. *Operations Research, 47*(6), 862-872. doi:10.1287/opre.47.6.862

Vespoli, S., Grassi, A., Guizzi, G., & Santillo, L. C. (2019). Evaluating the advantages of a novel decentralised scheduling approach in the Industry 4.0 and Cloud Manufacturing era. *IFAC-PapersOnLine, 52*(13), 2170-2176. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2019.11.527

Wan, L., Fu, L., Li, C., & Li, K. (2024). Flexible job shop scheduling via deep reinforcement learning with meta-path-based heterogeneous graph neural network. *Knowledge-Based Systems, 296*, 111940. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2024.111940

Workneh, A. D., El Mouhtadi, M., & El Hilali Alaoui, A. (2024). Deep reinforcement learning for adaptive flexible job shop scheduling: coping with variability and uncertainty. *Smart Science, 12*(2), 387-405. doi:10.1080/23080477.2024.2345951

Xiong, H., Wang, H., Shi, S., & Chen, K. (2024). Comparison study of dispatching rules and heuristics for online scheduling of single machine scheduling problem with predicted release time jobs. *Expert Systems with Applications, 243*, 122752. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2023.122752

Zhang, F., Li, R., & Gong, W. (2024). Deep reinforcement learning-based memetic algorithm for energy-aware flexible job shop scheduling with multi-AGV. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 189*, 109917. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2024.109917

Zhang, S., Tang, F., Li, X., Liu, J., & Zhang, B. (2021). A hybrid multi-objective approach for real-time flexible production scheduling and rescheduling under dynamic environment in Industry 4.0 context. *Computers & Operations Research, 132*, 105267. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2021.105267

Zhang, W., Bao, X., Hao, X., & Gen, M. (2025). Metaheuristics for multi-objective scheduling problems in industry 4.0 and 5.0: a state-of-the-arts survey. *Frontiers in Industrial Engineering, 3*. doi:10.3389/fieng.2025.1540022

Zhang, W., Xiao, G., Gen, M., Geng, H., Wang, X., Deng, M., & Zhang, G. (2024). Enhancing multi-objective evolutionary algorithms with machine learning for scheduling problems: recent advances and survey. *Frontiers in Industrial Engineering, 2*. doi:10.3389/fieng.2024.1337174

Zhang, W., Zhao, F., Li, Y., Du, C., Feng, X., & Mei, X. (2024). A novel collaborative agent reinforcement learning framework based on an attention mechanism and disjunctive graph embedding for flexible job shop scheduling problem. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 74*, 329-345. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2024.03.012