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The article defines the level of women's participation in the economy sector in Capricorn District and recommends a strategy that minimizes women's unemployment. At the same time, a survey was conducted of 2,600 women in the Capricorn district at the age of 20 to 54 years. A multistage sample was used to obtain information from these women. The results showed that the level of women's economic participation is only $39.8 \%$. It is recommended that women take marriages (stable unions) and receive a serious education, because they give women the opportunity to participate in the economic activities of the out-of-home.
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## Квабена А. Кейе <br> РІВЕНЬ ЕКОНОМІЧНОЇ УЧАСТІ ЖІНОК (WЕР) В ОКРУЗІ КАПРІКОРН, ЛІМПОПО, ПІВДЕННА АФРИКА: РІВЕНЬ ТА ЧИННИКИ

У статті визначено рівень участі жсінок у секторі економіки в окрузі Капрікорн та рекомендована стратегія, яка мінімізуэ безробіття жінок. При цьому було проведено опитування 2600 жінок у окрузі Капрікорн у віці від 20 до 54 років. Багатоступенева вибірка була використана для отримання відомостей від цих жінок. Результати показали, що рівень економічної участі жінок становить лише $39,8 \%$. Рекомендовано, щоб жінки брали шлюб (стабільні спілки) та отримували освіту більџ серйозно, оскільки вони надавали жінкам можливість брати участь в економічній діяльності поза домом.
Ключові слова: економічна діяльність; рівень участі; жінки; округ Капрікорн. Форм. 1. Табл. 5. Літ. 18.

## Квабена А. Кейе <br> ПОКАЗАТЕЛЬ ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКОГО УЧАСТИЯ ЖЕНЩИН (WЕР) В ОКРУГЕ КАПРИКОРН, ЛИМПОПО, ЮЖНАЯ АФРИКА: УРОВЕНЬ И ФАКТОРЫ


#### Abstract

В статье определен уровень участия женщин в секторе экономики в округе Каприкорн и рекомендована стратегия, которая минимизирует безработицу женщин. При этом был проведен опрос 2600 женщин в округе Каприкорн у возрасте от 20 до 54 лет. Многоступенчатая выборка была использована для получения сведений от этих жснцин. Результаты показали, что уровень экономического участия женщин составляет лишь 39,8\%. Рекомендовано женщинам заключать браки (стабильные союзы) и получать серьезное образование, потому что они дают женщинам возможность участвовать в экономической деятельности вне дома. Ключовые слова: економическая деятельность; уровень участия; женщины; округ Каприкорн.


Introduction. Unemployment has always been a matter of serious concern in South Africa from the 1970s (G.G. Kingdon \& J. Knight, 2000). "Women experience

[^0]far higher unemployment or far lower economic participation rate [in the economy]", declared by Lehohla in Pretoria in April 2013 at the release of the Gender Statistics in South African 2011 report. This study focuses on women's participation in the job market in the formal sector, looking at the level of labour participation rate of women in the province, using a case of Capricorn district; and makes an attempt to find the causes of such very low economic participation rate. Lack of job opportunities for women poses a big problem for the society because women are the bread winners therefore without jobs and money, there is a big problem. Most women are bound to look after their children, for such reasons as migrant work and polygamy, therefore, when they are unemployed and without money, the family faces crises. Women continue to face many barriers to entering the labour markets (K.A. Kyei and T. Maboko, 2015). These barriers do not only hold back women, but also hold back economic growth in developing countries with large gender gaps (OECD, 2006, 2008).

Generally, unemployment has a detrimental effect on the development of the country. Tax payers have to pay a lot more in order for the government to raise money for services such as: social grants (disabled, child, pensioners and others); medical facilities, educational system (feeding schemes, textbooks, laboratories), and for policing unit, defence among others (F.C. Barker, 1999; K.A. Kyei and T. Maboko, 2015).

The research questions being asked are: What is the level of women's economic participation rate in Capricorn? Is it higher than the provincial average? What are the factors mitigating against women's economic participation rate (WEP)? This study seeks to find the level of WEP in the Capricorn district. Specifically, the study focuses on women aged between 20-54 years because women in this age bracket are active, strong, healthy and capable of doing (any) job offered to them.

Capricorn District Municipality falls under the Limpopo province, located on the northern side of South Africa. It derives its name from the Tropic of Capricorn, along which it is situated. Relatively, it is predominantly urban in nature and consists of the following five local municipalities: Aganang, Blouberg, Lepelle-Nkumpi, Molemole and Polokwane. Limpopo's capital city, Polokwane (previously known as Pietersburg), lies in the heart of Capricorn region (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/capricorn_district_municipality).

Literature. Available statistics show that labour force participation rates are lower for women than men in almost all countries. However, official labour statistics do not adequately reflect women's activities especially in rural areas where production systems are still predominantly house-hold or family-based (International Labour Organization, (ILO), 2003). According to ILO (2003, 2008) a great deal of women's economic activities, especially for family consumption and unpaid family labour are not reflected in official statistics.

Women are more limited in their choices for labour participation across sectors. This sectoral segregation has increased over time, though, with women moving out of agriculture in developing economies and out of industry in developed economies and into services (C.R. McConnel and S.L. Bruce, 1995). In 2012, at the global level, a third of women were employed in agriculture, nearly half were in services, and a sixth were in industry (UN, 2007; http://www.tradingeconomics.com). Women's industrial share only slightly rose over the last two decades as most women moved out of agriculture and directly into services (UN, 2007). In advanced economies, women's
participation rate in the industry halved, crowding more than $85 \%$ of them into services, primarily into service areas like education and health. In most developing economies, women moved out of agriculture, and into services, with the exception of East Asia, where women's labour participation rate in the industry rose to a quarter of total participation (United Nations Development for Women, (UNIFEM), 2005). Well educated women tend to enter the teaching and nursing professions rather than in the management, scientific or technical occupations (M.I. Mafiri, 2002). In rural areas, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa, the proportions of women concentrated in agriculture and food production are higher than that of men (ILO, 2003).

Younger women who entered the labour market some few years back experience higher levels of unemployment than middle-aged women, who are better established in employment (United States Bureau of Labor Statistics (USBLS), 2006). Amongst men, the relationship between age and unemployment remains relatively steady until they get to the early 50 s when it begins to rise, peaking at age 59 . Women are found mainly at the lower echelons in the formal sector (ILO, 2003). The share of women in the labour force in the industry is low; given the limited opportunities in the formal sector, the majority of women in urban areas are self-employed in the informal sector.

This education gap has implications for the ability of girls and women to access economic opportunities later in life. The rapid increase in women's labour force participation rate noted in the Middle East over the past decade has coincided with massive investments in education (ILO, 2008). Longer education spells lower economic participation rates for young women; but whether higher education leads to greater gender equity, in the labour market remains questionable (M. Stampini and A. VerdierCouchane, 2011).

As documented in a relatively recent cross-country study of 18 sub-Saharan countries, countries with the highest male employment ratio also tend to have the lowest gender gap. The general gender gap is explained by multiple factors. The most important among these factors are social and cultural patterns which keep women out of the labour market as well as a tendency of government authorities to invest too little attention and resources in the promotion of women economic participation; women are "time poor" (USBLS, 2006; UN, 2007). Due to the gender division of labour in the family prevailing in many countries, women responsibility for unpaid household labour leaves only few hours daily for engaging in work outside the household (UNIFEM, 2005).

Methodology. A survey was conducted covering 2600 women aged 20-54 years in the district; and individual face to face interviews were done. Multi-stage sampling was used to get these women. Firstly the municipalities in the district were considered at the primary stage, the towns or villages sampled from each municipal council by simple random sample, next, systematic sampling used to getting the households in a selected town or village and eventually women in those households interviewed.

Multivariate analysis was performed to assess the factors relating to women economic participation in the Capricorn district of Limpopo. Generalized Linear Models (GLM), Loglinear models, and Discriminant analyses were performed.

## Results

Generalized Linear Models (GLM). From Table 1, we see that only $39.8 \%$ of the women in Capricorn are participating in economic activity in the formal sector;
$38.5 \%$ of the women are single, $6.9 \%$ of them have primary education, $64.6 \%$ have secondary education and a high proportion of $28.5 \%$ have tertiary education in the Capricorn district. The table further indicates that $51.3 \%$ of them are from the low class, $44.4 \%$ are from the middle class and $4.3 \%$ are from the high class. The mean age is 33.89 year with a standard deviation of 8.093 year, while the mean number of children a woman has is 2.23 with a standard deviation of 1.452 .

Table 1. Case processing summary, author's

| Variables | Categories | Capricorn |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% |
| Employed? | Yes | 1036 | 39.8 |
|  | No | 1564 | 60.2 |
| Marital | Single | 1000 | 38.5 |
| Status | Married | 1600 | 61.5 |
| Highest educational level | Primary | 179 | 6.9 |
|  | Secondary | 1680 | 64.6 |
|  | Tertiary | 741 | 28.5 |
| Family | Low class | 1331 | 51.3 |
| Background | Medium | 1154 | 44.4 |
|  | High | 113 | 4.3 |
| Mean Number of children |  | 2.23 |  |
| Mean age |  | 33.9 |  |

Omnibus Test. The Omnibus Test (in Table 2a), tells us that there is clearly a significant relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variables collectively considered together.

Table 2a. Omnibus Testa

| Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square | Df | Sig. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 688.492 | 7 | .000 |

Dependent Variable: Are you emplyed?
Model: (Intercept), maritalStatus, HiggestStandard, FamilyBackground, Age, No.of Children a. Compares the fitted model against the intercept-only model.

We seek to find out whether all the independent variables have significant relationships with WEP. Table 2 b of parameter estimates really confirms that.

The following model is derived from Table 2 b of the parameter estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \mu & =-.789-.583 \text { Maritalstatus } 1+.741 \text { Familybackground } 1+ \\
& +.379 \text { Familybackground2 -3.705 Educationallevel1 }-  \tag{1}\\
& \text { 2.174 Educationallevel2 }+.036 \text { Age }+.171 \text { Numberchn }
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (1) tells us that in the Capricorn district, the logarithm of women economic participation rate (WEP) (employed) is negatively related to marital status (single)
and education level (primary, 1 and high 2), but positively related to family background (low class 1 and middle class 2), age and the number of children that the woman has.

Table 2b. Parameter Estimates - GLM

| Parameter | B | Std <br> Error | Hypothesis Test |  | Wald ChiSquare | 95\% Wald Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Df | Sig |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| (Intercept) | -. 789 | . 3187 | 1 | . 013 | 6.131 | -1.414 | -. 165 |
| [maritalStatus =1] | -. 583 | . 1031 | 1 | . 000 | 32.058 | -. 785 | -. 381 |
| [maritalStatus =2] | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [FamilyBackground = 1] | . 741 | . 2385 | 1 | . 002 | 9.654 | . 274 | 1.209 |
| [FamilyBackground = 2] | . 379 | . 2344 | 1 | . 106 | 2.610 | -. 081 | . 838 |
| [FamilyBackground = 3] | $0_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [HiggestStandard =1] | -3.705 | . 2727 | 1 | . 000 | 184.630 | -4.240 | -3.171 |
| [HiggestStandard = 2] | -2.174 | . 1116 | 1 | . 000 | 379.600 | -2.393 | -1.956 |
| [HiggestStandard = 3] | $0_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | . 036 | . 0071 | 1 | . 000 | 26.150 | . 022 | . 050 |
| No.ofChildren | . 171 | . 0387 | 1 | . 000 | 19.427 | . 095 | . 247 |
| (Scale) | $1_{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dependent variable: Are you employed?
Model: (Intercept), maritalStatus, FamilyBackground, HiggestStandard, Age, No.of Children.
Thus the economic participation (WEP) level is $44 \%$ lower for women who are single (compared to the married) and women with low educational level (primary and high school graduates); but higher for women in the low class and the middle class family background (compared to those from the high class background). If we take tertiary education as the base, the WEP of high school graduates is $11.4 \%$ and that of primary school graduates is only $2.1 \%$.

Table 2c. Goodness of fita

|  | Value | Df | Value/df |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Deviance | 1185.816 | 955 | 1.254 |
| Scaled deviance | 1185.816 | 955 |  |
| Pearson Shi-Square | 1137.200 | 955 | 1.191 |
| Scaled Pearson Chi-Square | 1137.200 | 955 |  |
| Log Likelihood | -849.474 |  |  |
| Akaike's Information Criterion(AIC) | 1714.948 |  |  |
| Finite Sample Corrected AIC(AICC) | 1715.003 |  |  |
| Bayesian Information Criterion | 1761.854 |  |  |
| Consistent AIC (CAIC) | 1769.854 |  |  |

a. Information criteria are in smaller-is-better form.
b. The full log likelihood function is displayed and used in computing information criteria.

Conversely, if we take high class family background as a base, the WEP for women from low class increases by $2.1 \%$ and that of middle class by $1.5 \%$. The WEP level equally increases with age as well as the number of children that a woman has. The WEP increases by $3.7 \%$ by an additional year and also by $19 \%$ by additional child. Furthermore, when we compare the WEP level with primary and high school gra-
duates, we see that the level is much lower for primary than for high school graduates, which means that women with only primary school certificates are mostly at risk of finding jobs. The WEP level with the low class family background is comparatively higher than those in the middle class family background. Thus women from the middle class family background have poorer chance at finding jobs than those from the low class family background in Capricorn; and this observation demands explanation.

Multiple Logistic (Multinomial) Regression Model. The following analysis from multiple logistic regression seeks to further affirm or not the results already obtained from generalized linear modeling. Table 3a presents the results of the goodness of fit test.

Table 3a. Goodness-of-Fit

|  | Chi-Square | Df | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson | 2128.150 | 1611 | .000 |
| Deviance | 2021.801 | 1611 | .000 |

We observed in the Goodness of fit tables, (Table 3a, Table 3b and Table A (in appendix) that the overall regression is significant as shown by the p-value of .000 . That is all the independent variables are collectively significant when we compare the fitted model against the intercept-only model. However, we need to test whether all the variables are relevant in the model.

Table 3b. Model Fitting Information

|  | Model Fitting Criteria |  |  | Likelihood Ratio Tests |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AIC | BIC | -2 Log <br> Likelihood | Chi- <br> Square | df | Sig. |
|  | 3973.839 | 3980.272 | 3971.839 |  |  |  |
| Final | 2768.218 | 2826.123 | 2750.218 | 1221.620 |  | 8 |

Table 3c of parameter confirms that all the independent variables are relevant in the model, having p -value $=.000$.

Table 3c. Parameter Estimates - Multiple Logistic Regression

| Parameter | B | Std <br> Error | Hypothesis Test |  | Z | 95\% Wald <br> Confidence <br> Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Df | Sig |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| (Intercept) | 1.415 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [District $=1$ ] | -. 262 | . 030 | 1 | . 000 | -8.821 | -. 321 | -. 110 |
| [District =2] | $0_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [maritalStatus = 1] | . 243 | . 030 | 1 | . 000 | 8.178 | . 185 | . 465 |
| [maritalStatus =2] | $0_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [FamilyBackground = 1] | 2.963 | . 094 | 1 | . 000 | 31.653 | 2.780 | 3.680 |
| [FamilyBackground =2] | 2.889 | . 094 | 1 | . 000 | 30.803 | 2.705 | 3.797 |
| [FamilyBackground = 3] | $0_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [HiggestStandard = 1] | -. 858 | . 061 | 1 | . 000 | -14.001 | -. 978 | -. 711 |
| [HiggestStandard = 2] | 1.314 | . 038 | 1 | . 000 | 34.884 | 1.240 | 1.751 |
| [HiggestStandard = 3] | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Scale) | $1_{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dependent variable: Are you employed?

The AIC (Akaike's Information Criterion) and deviance statistics were employed as a criteria for judging the quality of the model. The results from Table 2c and Table 3 show that the model fit is good, p -value $=.000$, in Table 3 .

Table 4. Tests of Model Effects

| Source | Type III |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Wald Chi-Square | Df | Sig. |
| (Intercept) | 108.834 | 1 | .000 |
| Marital status | 32.058 | 1 | .000 |
| Education level | 445.557 | 2 | .000 |
| Family background | 18.005 | 2 | .000 |
| Age | 26.150 | 1 | .000 |
| Number of Children | 19.427 | 1 | .000 |

Discriminant Analysis. Tables 5a \& 5b present the results from discriminant analysis. From Table 5a, the mean differences between age ( $35.28 \& 32.96$ ) and the number of children a woman has ( $2.52 \& 2.04$ ) indicate that these independent variables are good discriminators because the separations are relatively large.

Table 5a. Group Statistics

| Are you employed? | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Valid N (listwise) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted | Weighted |
| Yes Age | 35.28 | 7.545 | 1036 | 1036.000 |
| Marital status | 1.72 | .450 | 1036 | 1036.000 |
| Education level | 2.50 | .534 | 1036 | 1036.000 |
| Family background | 1.57 | .594 | 1036 | 1036.000 |
| Number of children | 2.52 | 1.418 | 1036 | 1036.000 |
| No Age | 32.96 | 8.311 | 1564 | 1564.000 |
| Marital status | 1.55 | .498 | 1564 | 1564.000 |
| Education level | 2.02 | .480 | 1564 | 1564.000 |
| Family background | 1.50 | .568 | 1564 | 1564.000 |
| Number of children | 2.04 | 1.444 | 1564 | 1564.000 |
| Total Age | 33.89 | 8.093 | 2600 | 2600.000 |
| Marital status | 1.62 | .487 | 2600 | 2600.000 |
| Education level | 2.22 | .554 | 2600 | 2600.000 |
| Job comfort | 1.53 | .580 | 2600 | 2600.000 |
| Family background | 2.23 | 1.452 | 2600 | 2600.000 |
| Number of children |  |  |  |  |

Table 5 b identifies a strong statistical evidence of significant differences between the employed and the unemployed for all independent variables with education level ( $\mathrm{F}=569.249$ ), Marital status $(\mathrm{F}=79.119)$ and the number of children $(\mathrm{F}=69.876)$ producing fairly high values of F's.

Table 5b. Tests of Equality of Group Means

|  | Wilks' Lambda | F | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age | .980 | 52.260 | 1 | 2598 | .000 |
| Marital status | .970 | 79.119 | 1 | 2598 | .000 |
| Education level | .820 | 569.249 | 1 | 2598 | .000 |
| Family background | .997 | 8.905 | 1 | 2598 | .003 |
| Number of children | .974 | 69.876 | 1 | 2598 | .000 |

The very high value of F for education suggests that education level is the most predictive factor. Marital status, the number of children and Age are also predictive factors.

Discussion. First, a loglinear analysis was done to find the association among factors (see Table A1 in appendix). Next GLM was conducted to find the relationship between dependent variable (women economic participation) and the independent variables. And as a further proof to test and affirm the relationship between the dependent and the independent variables, multiple logistic (multinomial) regression was conducted. The multinomial regression analysis was conducted to predict a response (employed or unemployed) from the predictor variables (age, marital status, education level, family background and the number of children a woman has). Model fit tests and diagnostics were conducted to prove the results of the analyses (Table A2 in appendix).

Our model shows that all the variables - education, age, marital status, family status and the number of children - influence the women economic participation rate very strongly. And interprets that women's economic participation level is lower for women who are single (compared to married) and also for women with low educational level (primary and high school graduates) (compared with those with tertiary). The WEP level however increases for women in the low class and the middle class family background (compared to those from the high class background). Furthermore, when we compare the levels with primary and high school graduates, we see that the level is much lower for primary than for high school graduates, which means that women with only primary school certificates are most at risk for finding jobs. Higher education improves women economic participation rate (P. Serneels, 2004; OECD, 2006; K.A Kyei and T Maboko, 2015).

Conversely, women from the middle class family background have better chance at finding jobs than those from the low class family background. Age has an impact on women labour force participation because younger women are more able to afford unpaid job-search since they have fewer financial commitments than older women do. According to F. Kryger (1999) the probability of being unemployed decreases with the age initially but then increases after 40 years as the woman becomes older.

Conclusion. We have seen that the economic participation rate by women in the formal sector in the Capricorn district is very low at $39.8 \%$ and that the most predictive factors affecting women activity rate which have been confirmed by all the techniques used are education, age, marital status, family status and the number of children. These factors influence women economic participation rate very strongly ( p -value $=.000$ ).

The paper recommends that women should take marriages (stable unions) and education seriously because they empower women to participate in economic activity outside home.
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## Appendix

Hierarchical Loglinear Analysis
Table A1. K-Way and Higher-Order Effects

|  | K | Df | Likelihood Ratio |  | Pearson |  | Number of Iterations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Chi- <br> Square | Sig. | Chi-Square | Sig. |  |
| K-way and Higher Order Effects ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 23 | 6394.561 | . 000 | 9170.866 | . 000 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 18 | 2275.844 | . 000 | 3119.314 | . 000 | 2 |
|  | 3 | 9 | 29.542 | . 001 | 31.955 | . 000 | 6 |
|  | 4 | 2 | 3.051 | . 217 | 2.933 | . 231 | 3 |
| K-way Effects ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 5 | 4118.718 | . 000 | 6051.552 | . 000 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 9 | 2246.301 | . 000 | 3087.359 | . 000 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 7 | 26.491 | . 000 | 29.023 | . 000 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 2 | 3.051 | . 217 | 2.933 | . 231 | 0 |

a. Tests that k-way and higher order effects are zero.
b. Tests that k-way effects are zero.

Generalized Linear Models - Logit procedure.

Table A2. Model Fitting Criteria

|  | Model Fitting Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Effect | AIC of <br> Reduced <br> Model | BIC of <br> Reduced <br> Model | -2 Log Likelihood <br> of Reduced Model | Chi- <br> Square | Sig. |  |
| Intercept | 2768.218 | 2826.123 | $2750.218^{\text {a }}$ | .000 | . |  |
| Age | 2829.030 | 2880.500 | 2813.030 | 62.811 | .000 |  |
| No.ofChildren | 2775.056 | 2826.526 | 2759.056 | 8.837 | .003 |  |
| District | 2843.768 | 2895.239 | 2827.768 | 77.550 | .000 |  |
| maritalStatus | 2798.196 | 2849.666 | 2782.196 | 31.977 | .000 |  |
| HiggestStandard | 3415.915 | 3460.952 | 3401.915 | 651.697 | .000 |  |
| FamilyBackground | 2769.259 | 2814.295 | 2755.259 | 5.040 | .080 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ University of Venda Limpopo province, South Africa.

[^1]:    Barker, F.S. (1999). The South African Labour Market. Pretoria: Van Schaik Publishers.
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